Plant-based diets, pescatarian diets and COVID-19 severity: a population-based case–control study in six countries
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ABSTRACT

Background Several studies have hypothesised that dietary habits may play an important role in COVID-19 infection, severity of symptoms, and duration of illness. However, no previous studies have investigated the association between dietary patterns and COVID-19.

Methods Healthcare workers (HCWs) from six countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK, USA) with substantial exposure to COVID-19 patients completed a web-based survey from 17 July to 25 September 2020. Participants provided information on demographic characteristics, dietary information, and COVID-19 outcomes. We used multivariable logistic regression models to evaluate the association between self-reported diets and COVID-19 infection, severity, and duration.

Results There were 568 COVID-19 cases and 2316 controls. Among the 568 cases, 138 individuals had moderate-to-severe COVID-19 severity whereas 430 individuals had very mild to mild COVID-19 severity. After adjusting for important confounders, participants who reported following ‘plant-based diets’ and ‘plant-based diets or pescatarian diets’ had 73% (OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.81) and 59% (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.99) lower odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 severity, respectively, compared with participants who did not follow these diets. Compared with participants who reported following ‘plant-based diets’, those who reported following ‘low carbohydrate, high protein diets’ had greater odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR 3.86, 95% CI 1.13 to 13.24). No association was observed between self-reported diets and COVID-19 infection or duration.

Conclusion In six countries, plant-based diets or pescatarian diets were associated with lower odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19. These dietary patterns may be considered for protection against severe COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

Acute respiratory tract infections are a major driver of mortality and morbidity worldwide, as demonstrated by the recent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and seasonal influenza epidemics. Globally, acute respiratory tract illnesses were estimated to cause approximately 2.4 million deaths, in people of all ages, in 2016.1 COVID-19 is a respiratory tract illness caused by the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, that was declared a pandemic by the WHO on 11 March 2020. Since then, several new variants of SARS-CoV-2 have emerged,2 adding to the global burden of infection despite public health practices including personal protective equipment (PPE), social distancing, and hand-washing. Healthcare workers (HCWs) who treat patients with COVID-19 illness in medical clinics, emergency rooms, and hospitals are particularly susceptible to contracting the infection given their high rates of exposure.3 While HCWs are being vaccinated in many countries currently, with the emergence of new variants and challenges in accessing COVID-19 vaccines globally, understanding risk factors associated with COVID-19 susceptibility and disease course in physicians and nurses may help to develop supportive strategies for protecting these workers both now and in the future.

Prior studies suggest a strong connection between non-hygiene-related risk factors in conferring viral disease susceptibility. Specifically, nutritional factors play a key role in both innate and adaptive immunity.4 Further, we have learnt that individuals with comorbidities are disproportionately affected with severe COVID-19 disease and mortality. Obesity, type 2 diabetes, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, and hypertension are risk factors for...
severe COVID-19. The aetiology of these conditions is largely driven by poor nutrition and unfavourable lifestyle choices (eg, physical inactivity or sedentary behaviour) which have a high prevalence in economically advantaged countries, like the USA and Europe. Yet, specific nutritional strategies to support optimal immune function have not been clearly described.

Understanding the associations between dietary patterns and COVID-19 related illness could further our understanding of the role of nutrition in viral illnesses. Using data from at-risk physicians and nurses, we aimed to evaluate the association between self-reported diets and COVID-19 infection, severity, and duration of symptoms.

METHODS

Study design and study population

We carried out a COVID-19 case–control study of frontline physicians and nurses in six countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK, USA) evaluating nutritional factors with the risk of COVID-19 infection, severity, and duration. We leveraged a global network of physicians and nurses registered in the Survey Healthcare Globus network for healthcare market research, identifying providers with high exposure and high-risk for COVID-19-like illness. Participants completed a survey between 17 July and 25 September 2020. Details on the study design and power calculation have been reported in our earlier publications.8

Briefly, we aimed to recruit participants with a high frequency of exposure to patients with COVID-19. Participants were screened for substantial exposure to patients with COVID-19, medical specialty (eg, internal medicine, emergency medicine, critical care), practice setting (eg, emergency room, intensive care unit, other hospital-based department), presence of COVID-19 symptoms, and COVID-19 test result based on PCR or antibody. Participants could not enter into the study if they had infrequent exposure to patients with COVID-19 (defined as patient encounters meeting criteria of face-to-face within 6 feet (2 m) for greater than or equal to 10 min, the aggregate for all encounters, averaging less than 5% of time on a typical shift) unless they had COVID-19 symptoms or a positive COVID-19 test result which suggests substantial exposure. Additionally, participants whose medical specialty or practice setting was not considered to be high-risk could not enter the study. The questionnaire was terminated if participants’ response on disease severity was inconsistent with their description of COVID-19 symptoms. We screened 7344 participants for eligibility, and a total of 4460 participants were not considered eligible (online supplemental figure 1). As a result, 2884 HCWs with high frequency of exposure to patients with COVID-19 were considered eligible.

Recruitment goals for the present study was 500 cases and 2500 controls (1000 participants in the USA and 400 participants in each European country). At the time when this study was designed, the prevalence of COVID-19 was much lower than more recent estimates of COVID-19 prevalence (1.8 million cumulative cases in April 2020 compared with 115 million cumulative cases globally in March 2021).9 As we anticipated, enrolment of cases was slower than controls, thus, enrolment of cases was prioritised.

HCWs completed a detailed web-based questionnaire of approximately 100 items. We collected information on basic demographic characteristics, past medical history, medications, lifestyle, and COVID-19 symptoms, and a 47-item food frequency questionnaire adapted from a previously validated questionnaire that allowed us to capture food groups of the respondent’s diet.10 The questionnaire was translated into the primary language of each country. The English version of the questionnaire has been published.7 The Western Institutional Review Board at Stamford Hospital reviewed the study protocol and deemed it to be exempt. Informed consent was obtained electronically before the questionnaire was administered.

COVID-19 cases and controls

COVID-19 cases were defined as symptomatic cases (defined as answering ‘yes’ to the question: ‘Since exposure, have you personally experienced symptoms consistent with a diagnosis of COVID-19 (fever, coughing, fatigue, loss of taste or smell)’), or asymptomatic cases (defined as a positive PCR or antibody test without COVID-19 like symptoms (fever, coughing, fatigue, loss of taste or smell)). Controls were defined as having a negative test and/or no experience of symptoms consistent with COVID-19. Based on these definitions, there were 568 cases and 2316 controls. There were 298 cases when we restricted cases to those with a positive PCR or antibody test. We used 568 cases for our main analysis, because we considered presence of symptoms to be an important criterion. At the time of the study, HCWs in Europe and the USA may not have had timely and adequate access to COVID-19 testing. Furthermore, a negative test for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies does not indicate that HCWs did not have COVID-19.

Severity and duration of COVID-19 cases

Cases (symptomatic and asymptomatic, n=568) were asked to rate the severity of COVID-19 illness. Participants had five options: (1) Very mild: asymptomatic or nearly asymptomatic; (2) Mild: symptoms (fever <38°C (without treatment), with or without cough, no dyspnoea, no gasping, no abnormal imaging findings); (3) Moderate: (fever, respiratory symptoms, and/or imaging findings of pneumonia); (4) Severe: meet any of the following—a) respiratory distress, respiratory rate ≥30 times/min; (b) low oxygen saturation <93% at rest; (c) partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2)/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) ≤300 mm Hg; and (5) Critical: Respiratory failure needing mechanical assistance, intensive care unit admission, shock, or extra-pulmonary organ failure. This criterion was used in a prior study.11 No participant selected
‘critical’ severity. We dichotomized severity of cases as moderate-to-severe versus very mild to mild.

Then, participants reported the number of days they experienced symptoms of COVID-19 (‘How many days did you experience symptoms of COVID-19? Please answer from the first day that you experienced any symptoms until you were completely asymptomatic’). We considered asymptomatic individuals to have 0 days of duration of symptoms. We compared duration of cases by dichotomising COVID-19 duration as >14 days versus ≤14 days. We used 14 days, given that 14 was the median days of duration of symptoms for individuals with moderate-to-severe COVID-19.

**Self-reported dietary patterns**

Participants reported if they followed any type of specific diet over all of the past year before the COVID-19 pandemic. We used 1 year to capture usual and long-term dietary intake. Participants had 11 choices: whole foods, plant-based diet; keto diet; vegetarian diet; Mediterranean diet; pescatarian diet; Palaeolithic diet; low fat diet; low carbohydrate diet; high protein diet; other; none of the above. Before analyses, we selected dietary patterns with sufficient ‘yes’ responses (‘yes’ response of at least 100 individuals). To increase precision, we analysed three dietary patterns after combining dietary patterns that are similar in terms of dietary intake. We combined ‘whole foods, plant-based’ diets and ‘vegetarian’ diets into one category (‘plant-based diets’, n=254). Then, we combined ‘whole food, plant-based’ diets, ‘vegetarian’ diets or ‘pescatarian’ diets into another category (‘plant-based diets or pescatarian diets’, n=294) to test if a spectrum of plant-based diets which include animal products are associated with COVID-19 severity. Due to the small number of cases (nine cases of moderate-to-severe COVID-19, 40 COVID-19 cases), we could not analyse pescatarian diets separately. We used plant-based diets to encompass plant-based diets and vegetarian diets, given that vegetarian diets are considered a subset of plant-based diets which minimise consumption of animal products (meat, fish, dairy).12 13 Lastly, we combined ‘low carbohydrate’ diets and ‘high protein’ diets into another category (‘low carbohydrate, high protein diet’, n=483) to evaluate whether these dietary patterns are associated with COVID-19 severity. To study intake of food groups among people who reported following these dietary patterns, we categorised items in the food frequency questionnaire into 22 food groups based on nutrient composition and culinary similarities (online supplemental table 1).

**Statistical analyses**

We summarised characteristics of the overall study population by severity status using mean and SD for continuous variables and percent and frequencies for categorical variables. Then, we examined food group intakes among individuals following and not following three different dietary patterns (‘plant-based diets’, ‘plant-based diets or pescatarian diets’, and ‘low carbohydrate, high protein diets’). To compare differences, we used $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables.

For the primary analyses, we evaluated the association between self-reported diets and severity of COVID-19 using three multivariable logistic regression models. Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and country. Model 2 adjusted for covariates in model 1 as well as medical specialty, smoking status, and physical activity (ORs and 95% CIs from this model were the primary results). Model 3 for adjusted covariates in model 2 as well as body mass index (BMI) and the presence of a medical condition (diagnosis of any of the following conditions: diabetes, pre-diabetes, high cholesterol, hypertension, coronary heart disease or heart attack, heart failure, cancer, prior lung disease, prior lung infection, overweight, asthma, or autoimmune disease). As secondary analyses, we used the same set of models to compare whether following ‘plant-based diets’ (reference) versus ‘low carbohydrate, high protein diets’ or ‘plant-based diets or pescatarian diets’ (reference) versus ‘low carbohydrate, high protein diets’ is associated with COVID-19 severity, and investigated the association between self-reported diets and COVID-19 infection and duration of COVID-19 illness.

As a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for access to PPE, and additionally adjusted for dietary supplement use (multivitamin/mineral, folic acid/folate, vitamin A, vitamin B complex, vitamin E, zinc, N-acetyl-cysteine, choline) one at a time and simultaneously in the fully adjusted models. Dietary supplement use was defined as use of single supplements more than once per week for the previous 12 months. Although the study was underpowered to study the associations between self-reported diets and cases defined solely by testing, we limited cases to those with a positive PCR or antibody test, and repeated our analyses. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 15 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

**RESULTS**

More than 70% of study participants were men and almost 95% of the study participants were physicians (table 1). It is possible that our study population was high in men because they may be more likely to be in a predetermined high-risk medical specialty and practice setting. Of 568 cases, 430 participants had very mild to mild severity and 138 had moderate-to-severe COVID-19 severity. HCWs in the USA, UK, Italy, and France were more likely to be moderate-to-severe cases than very mild to mild cases. Many demographic characteristics (age, sex, race/ethnicity), medical specialty, medical history, smoking status, and BMI did not significantly differ by severity of COVID-19. HCWs with moderate-to-severe COVID-19 severity were less likely to report that they followed plant-based diets (8.6% for very mild to mild cases vs 2.9% for moderate-to-severe cases; p=0.02) but were more likely to report that they followed low carbohydrate, high protein diets.
## Table 1  Characteristics of healthcare workers exposed to COVID-19 by severity status*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Very mild to mild</th>
<th>Moderate to severe</th>
<th>P value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample size, N</strong></td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>794 (27.5%)</td>
<td>640 (27.6%)</td>
<td>119 (27.7%)</td>
<td>35 (25.4%)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2066 (71.6%)</td>
<td>1656 (71.5%)</td>
<td>310 (72.1%)</td>
<td>100 (72.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (0.1%)</td>
<td>1 (0.04%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>22 (0.8%)</td>
<td>19 (0.8%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td>48 (10)</td>
<td>48 (10)</td>
<td>47.1 (9.5)</td>
<td>48.3 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1061 (36.8%)</td>
<td>901 (38.9%)</td>
<td>116 (27.0%)</td>
<td>44 (31.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>327 (11.3%)</td>
<td>233 (10.1%)</td>
<td>66 (15.3%)</td>
<td>28 (20.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>528 (18.3%)</td>
<td>382 (16.5%)</td>
<td>125 (29.1%)</td>
<td>21 (15.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>433 (15.0%)</td>
<td>359 (15.5%)</td>
<td>54 (12.6%)</td>
<td>20 (14.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>279 (9.7%)</td>
<td>233 (10.1%)</td>
<td>35 (8.1%)</td>
<td>11 (8.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>256 (8.9%)</td>
<td>208 (9.0%)</td>
<td>34 (7.9%)</td>
<td>14 (10.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2218 (77.0%)</td>
<td>1792 (77.4%)</td>
<td>334 (77.7%)</td>
<td>92 (66.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any mixed/multiple ethnic background</td>
<td>162 (6.0%)</td>
<td>121 (5.2%)</td>
<td>28 (6.5%)</td>
<td>13 (9.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>336 (12.0%)</td>
<td>271 (11.7%)</td>
<td>46 (10.7%)</td>
<td>19 (13.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>48 (2.0%)</td>
<td>36 (1.6%)</td>
<td>7 (1.6%)</td>
<td>5 (3.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36 (1.0%)</td>
<td>29 (1.3%)</td>
<td>5 (1.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>84 (3.0%)</td>
<td>67 (2.9%)</td>
<td>10 (2.3%)</td>
<td>7 (5.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job classification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>2735 (94.8%)</td>
<td>2187 (94.4%)</td>
<td>412 (95.8%)</td>
<td>136 (98.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse/NP/PA</td>
<td>149 (5.2%)</td>
<td>129 (5.6%)</td>
<td>18 (4.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician specialty</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12 (0.4%)</td>
<td>10 (0.4%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy and Immunology</td>
<td>29 (1.0%)</td>
<td>25 (1.1%)</td>
<td>4 (0.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiology</td>
<td>281 (9.7%)</td>
<td>227 (9.8%)</td>
<td>38 (8.8%)</td>
<td>16 (11.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical care</td>
<td>282 (9.8%)</td>
<td>230 (9.9%)</td>
<td>42 (9.8%)</td>
<td>10 (7.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency medicine</td>
<td>603 (20.9%)</td>
<td>512 (22.1%)</td>
<td>70 (16.3%)</td>
<td>21 (15.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinology, diabetes, and metabolism</td>
<td>98 (3.4%)</td>
<td>74 (3.2%)</td>
<td>15 (3.5%)</td>
<td>9 (6.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenterology</td>
<td>94 (3.3%)</td>
<td>77 (3.3%)</td>
<td>12 (2.8%)</td>
<td>5 (3.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematology</td>
<td>112 (3.9%)</td>
<td>85 (3.7%)</td>
<td>18 (4.2%)</td>
<td>9 (6.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious disease</td>
<td>100 (3.5%)</td>
<td>82 (3.5%)</td>
<td>14 (3.3%)</td>
<td>4 (2.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>433 (15.0%)</td>
<td>322 (13.9%)</td>
<td>84 (19.5%)</td>
<td>27 (19.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology</td>
<td>53 (1.8%)</td>
<td>38 (1.6%)</td>
<td>15 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>107 (3.7%)</td>
<td>82 (3.5%)</td>
<td>21 (4.9%)</td>
<td>4 (2.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonology</td>
<td>430 (14.9%)</td>
<td>354 (15.3%)</td>
<td>53 (12.3%)</td>
<td>23 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatology</td>
<td>101 (3.5%)</td>
<td>69 (3.0%)</td>
<td>25 (5.8%)</td>
<td>7 (5.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nurse/NP/PA practice setting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency room</td>
<td>24 (16.1%)</td>
<td>22 (17.1%)</td>
<td>1 (6.0%)</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive care unit</td>
<td>50 (33.6%)</td>
<td>45 (34.9%)</td>
<td>5 (28.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hospital-based department</td>
<td>75 (50.3%)</td>
<td>62 (48.1%)</td>
<td>12 (67.0%)</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COVID-19 test (PCR or antibody)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No – I did not get a test</td>
<td>748 (25.9%)</td>
<td>695 (30.0%)</td>
<td>38 (8.8%)</td>
<td>15 (10.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No – I did not have access to the test</td>
<td>101 (3.5%)</td>
<td>69 (2.9%)</td>
<td>21 (4.9%)</td>
<td>11 (8.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
diets (table 1, 14.2% for very mild to mild cases vs 21.7% for moderate-to-severe cases; p=0.04).

Among COVID-19 cases, individuals who reported following plant-based diets consumed more total vegetables, plant proteins (legumes and nuts), and less poultry, red and processed meats, sugar-sweetened beverages, and alcohol compared with those who did not follow plant-based diets (table 2). Similarly, individuals who reported following a plant-based diet or pescatarian diet consumed more vegetables, legumes and nuts and less poultry and red and processed meats compared with those who did not follow either of this dietary pattern (table 3). Qualitatively, fish and seafood intake was slightly higher among individuals who reported following a plant-based diet or pescatarian diet (3.0 times/week) than those following a plant-based diet (2.5 times per week). Fruit consumption was higher among those who followed a plant-based diet or pescatarian diet (9.9 times/week) than those who did not follow these diets (8.5 times/week), but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.15). Participants who reported following low carbohydrate, high protein diets had higher intake of legumes, nuts, soups, and animal products (eg, eggs, poultry), and lower intake of refined grains, sweets and desserts, vegetable oil, croquettes, dumplings, and pizza compared with participants who did not follow low carbohydrate, high protein diets (online supplemental table 2).

After adjusting for basic demographic characteristics, medical specialty, and health behaviours (smoking, physical activity) in model 2, participants who followed plant-based diets had 73% lower odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.81) compared with participants who did not follow plant-based diets (figure 1). Similarly, participants who followed either plant-based diets or pescatarian diets had 59% lower odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.99) compared with those who did not follow these diets. These associations did not change when BMI and the presence of a medical condition was further adjusted. Compared with those who did not follow low carbohydrate, high protein diets, following these diets was associated with 48% greater odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR 1.48, 95% CI 0.89 to 2.49) in a model adjusting for demographic characteristics, medical specialty, and health behaviours. However, the association between low carbohydrate, high protein dietary pattern was not statistically significant in model 1 (p=0.13), model 2 (p=0.13), or model 3 (p=0.14).

We then changed comparisons to those who reported following plant-based diets to those who reported following low carbohydrate, high protein diets. Compared with those who followed plant-based diets, those who followed low carbohydrate, high protein diets had >3-fold higher odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR for model 1: 3.55, 95% CI 1.06 to 11.82; OR for model 2: 3.86, 95% CI 1.13 to 13.24; OR for model 3: 3.96, 95% CI 1.14 to 13.75, p<0.05 for all tests). Compared with those who followed plant-based diets or pescatarian diets, those who followed low carbohydrate, high protein diets had non-significant greater odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 (OR for model 1: 2.36, 95% CI 0.83 to 6.71; OR for model 2: 2.51, 95% CI 0.87 to 7.26; OR for model 3: 2.60, 95% CI 0.88 to 7.66, p=0.05 for all tests). No significant association was observed between any of the self-reported diets
and odds of COVID-19 illness (online supplemental table 3; p values for all tests >0.05) or duration of COVID-19 (online supplemental table 4; p values for all tests >0.05).

In a sensitivity analysis, the association did not change substantially when we additionally adjusted for access to PPE for plant-based diets (OR 0.29, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.84) and for low carbohydrate, high protein diets (OR 1.48, 95% CI 0.87 to 2.52), but the confidence interval was wider and the association was no longer statistically significant for plant-based diets or pescatarian diets (OR 0.42, 95% CI 0.17 to 1.05). When we additionally adjusted for use of different dietary supplements one at a time and simultaneously, the results were unchanged from the main analyses (data not shown). When we limited cases to those with a positive PCR or antibody test, the association between self-reported diets and moderate-to-severe COVID-19 illness was less precise, but the direction of the association was consistent with the main analysis (model 2, OR 0.56, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.95).
COVID-19. No association was observed for self-reported dietary patterns and moderate-to-severe COVID-19. ORs of moderate-to-severe COVID-19 for those who followed low carbohydrate, high protein diets were 3.55 (95% CI 1.06 to 11.82) in model 1, 3.86 (95% CI 1.13 to 13.24) in model 2, and 3.96 (95% CI 1.14 to 13.75) in model 3 (p<0.05 for all tests), compared with those who followed plant-based diets. ORs for severe COVID-19 for those who followed low carbohydrate, high protein diets were 2.36 (95% CI 0.83 to 6.71) in model 1, 2.51 (95% CI 0.87 to 7.26) in model 2, and 2.60 (95% CI 0.88 to 7.66) in model 3 (p<0.05 for all tests), compared with those who followed plant-based diets or pescatarian diets. We compared moderate-to-severe severity to very mild to mild severity. ‘Very mild’ severity was defined as asymptomatic or nearly asymptomatic. ‘Mild’ severity was defined as symptoms (fever <38°C without treatment), with or without cough, no dyspnoea, no gasping, no abnormal imaging findings. ‘Moderate’ severity was defined as fever, respiratory symptoms, and/or imaging findings of pneumonia. ‘Severe’ severity was defined as meeting any of the following: (1) respiratory distress, respiratory rate ≥30 times/min; (2) low oxygen saturation (SpO2) <93% at rest; (3) partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2)/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) ≤300 mm Hg. Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and centre, cross-sectional study of 206 Iranian patients with COVID-19, those with higher fruit and poultry consumption and lower tea consumption were less likely to have severe COVID-19.17 Our findings were similar to this prior study in that participants who reported following plant-based diets or a spectrum of plant-based diets (plant-based diets or pescatarian diets) had a non-significant higher intake of fruits. However, in our study, participants who were following plant-based diets or pescatarian diets had higher consumption of other food groups (vegetables, legumes, nuts) and lower consumption of poultry. Such differences in results may be due to differences in dietary patterns among Iranian adults relative to our study population, and our focus on dietary patterns rather than intake of specific food groups.

Plant-based diets or vegetarian diets are dietary patterns that are high in plant foods and low in animal products.12 13 Consistent with this definition, participants who reported that they followed plant-based diets or vegetarian diets had higher intake of plant foods (vegetables, legumes, nuts) and lower intake of poultry and red and processed meat. Plant-based diets are rich in nutrients, especially phytochemicals (polyphenols, carotenoids),13 14 with prior studies reporting higher fibre, vitamins A, C, and E, folate, and mineral (iron, potassium, magnesium) intake among those with highest versus lowest adherence to plant-based diets.12 15 Studies have reported that supplementation of some of these nutrients, specifically, vitamins A, C, D, and E, decreased the risk of respiratory

![Figure 1](https://nutrition.bmj.com/bmjnph-2021-000272)
infections, such as the common cold and pneumonia, and shortened the duration of these illnesses. These nutrients are hypothesised to support the immune system as they play important roles in the production of antibodies, proliferation of lymphocytes, and reduction of oxidative stress. While previously, both specific micronutrient deficiencies as well as generalised malnutrition have been associated with immune dysfunction in the host, the viral pathogen itself may be affected by the nutritional deficiency as well. Multiple viruses, such as coxsackievirus and influenza, have been shown to develop increased virulence due to changes in their genomes as a consequence of replicating in a nutritionally deficient host (eg, deficiency in selenium). Selenium may be an important nutrient to consider, considering its role in immunity. Given our findings of protection from severe illness in those consuming a micronutrient-dense diet, our data support this hypothesis. We could not further explore individual nutrient levels in our study population because we did not have these data. Future studies with detailed information on plasma micronutrient levels are warranted to confirm our findings.

Along with plant-based diets, individuals who reported following pescatarian diets had lower odds of severe COVID-19. Pescatarian diets lie within the spectrum of plant-based diets and include fish or seafood while restricting the intake of meats. Fish intake is an important source of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids, that is, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). High EPA and DHA intake, which results in high omega-3 fatty acids compared with omega-6 fatty acids and formation of omega-3 oxylipins, have anti-inflammatory effects, suppressing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (interleukin 1β, tumour necrosis factor α), reducing inflammatory eicosanoid synthesis and oxidative stress. A meta-analysis of 10 randomised controlled trials in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) found that individuals who received formulas high in omega-3 fatty acid had shorter duration of mechanical ventilation and shorter length of stay at intensive care units, suggesting favourable effects of omega-3 fatty acids on ARDS. In our study, the magnitude of the association for moderate-to-severe COVID-19 illness was lower when we included pescatarian diets in addition to those who reported following plant-based diets. Our results suggest that although there is evidence that fish intake may have favourable impacts on respiratory illness, future studies are needed to confirm whether pescatarian diets are associated with patient outcomes in the context of COVID-19.

Interestingly, when we restricted cases to those with a positive PCR or antibody test, individuals who reported following plant-based diets or pescatarian diets had lower odds of COVID-19 infection. Epidemiological studies have shown that fruit and vegetable intake is associated with a lower risk of upper respiratory tract infection such as cold, influenza, or sinusitis. Some studies reported that higher intake of fish was inversely associated with respiratory conditions such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. However, considering the lack of data on dietary intake and COVID-19 in the literature, and the smaller number of cases when we defined cases solely by testing, the result on COVID-19 infection requires replication.

Low carbohydrate, high protein diets were associated with non-significant greater odds of severe COVID-19 when compared with those who did not report following these diets. However, when we compared these diets to plant-based diets, those who reported following low carbohydrate, high protein diets had significantly greater odds of moderate-to-severe COVID-19. Participants who reported following low carbohydrate, high protein diets had a higher intake of legumes, nuts, soups, and animal products such as eggs and poultry. Studies have hypothesised that an unhealthy dietary pattern, such as Western diets which are high in refined sugars, processed foods, and red and processed meats, can be pro-inflammatory and have negative health impacts. Without plasma micronutrient levels, it is unclear whether low carbohydrate, high protein diets are unhealthy. Nonetheless, our results highlight that it may be advisable to follow a healthy dietary pattern such as plant-based diets or pescatarian diets.

Our study has several strengths, including a large sample size, diverse HCWs from multiple countries, and careful adjustment of potential confounding factors. Further, we were able to capture the early phase of the global pandemic, before the detection of known SARS-CoV2 variants of concern, which could further complicate a multinational epidemiological analysis, by leveraging an existing network of HCWs. However, our finding should be interpreted within the context of the following limitations. First, we relied on participants’ self-report to define exposures and outcomes. As such, there is a possibility of recall bias. However, HCWs are unique in that they may be a source of high-quality data (eg, complete information, probably high accuracy of information). Second, the definition of certain dietary patterns (eg, plant-based diets, pescatarian diets, low carbohydrate, high protein diet) may vary by countries. Nevertheless, it is encouraging that the responses on the food frequency questionnaire reflected intake of food groups that are consistent with these dietary patterns. Third, our study may not have included individuals with more severe COVID-19 illness, given that severe cases (mechanical ventilation, admission to intensive care units) may not have been able to complete our questionnaire. Fourth, our study population comprised predominantly male physicians; thus, the findings of the study may need to be replicated in women and non-HCWs. Lastly, despite our efforts to adjust for a number of confounding factors, residual confounding may still be present due to unmeasured or incorrectly assessed variables.

In conclusion, individuals who reported following plant-based diets or pescatarian diets had lower odds of severe COVID-19-like illness. Individuals who reported
following low carbohydrate, high protein diets had higher odds of severe-COVID-19-like illness, when compared with individuals who followed plant-based diets. Those who reported following plant-based diets or pescatarian diets had higher intake of vegetables, legumes and nuts, and lower intake of poultry and red and processed meats. Our results suggest that a healthy diet rich in nutrient-dense foods may be considered for protection against severe COVID-19. Future studies with detailed macro- and micronutrient data are warranted to study associations between dietary intake and COVID-19 severity.
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Supplemental Table 1. Classification of food items in the food frequency questionnaire to food groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food groups</th>
<th>Items in the food frequency questionnaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fruits</td>
<td>Citrus fruits such as orange, tangerine; other fruits, such as apple, pear, peach, banana; canned fruits (in syrup)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vegetables</td>
<td>Salad: lettuce, tomato, endive; green vegetables such as green beans, chard or spinach; other vegetables, such as eggplants, mushrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>Baked, fried or boiled potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes</td>
<td>Lentils, chickpeas, beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts</td>
<td>Nuts such as peanuts, hazelnuts, almonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined grains</td>
<td>Puffed breakfast cereals (&quot;Corn flakes,&quot; “Kellogg’s,” “Miel pops”, “Vitalis,” “Cheerios”), Snack bags (“chips,” “potato crisps,” “Cheetos,” “Fritos”); white rice, paella; pasta such as noodles, macaroni, spaghetti; white bread in sandwich or with meals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark or whole grain breads</td>
<td>Dark or whole grain breads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and desserts</td>
<td>Chocolate: table, chocolates, “Kit Kat,” “Mars,” or “M&amp;M’s”; treats such as jelly beans, candy; sweet cookies or crackers; cookies with chocolate, cream; cupcakes, sponge cake; chocolate or cream cakes; pastries, donuts, croissants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>Milk; yogurt/yoghurt; white or fresh cheese (Burgoes) or low-fat cheese; other cheeses such as cured or semi-cured, creamy; dairy desserts such as custard, flan, cottage cheese; ice creams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>Chicken or turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red and processed meats</td>
<td>Veal, pork, lamb such as steak, patty; minced meat, longaliza, hamburger; salty, sweet ham; sausages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and seafood</td>
<td>White fish such as hake, grouper; blue fish such as sardines, tuna, salmon; seafood such as mussels, prawns, squid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soups</td>
<td>Soups or cream-based soups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croquettes, dumplings, pizza</td>
<td>Croquettes, dumplings, pizza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages</td>
<td>Sugary drinks such as “Coca-Cola,” “Fanta”; low calorie drinks such as diet coke, coca-cola light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit juices</td>
<td>Commercial fruit juices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oil</td>
<td>Vegetable oil such as olive oil, corn, canola, or rapeseed oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>Butter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Wine, sangria; beer (not including alcohol-free beer); distilled beverages such as whiskey, gin, cognac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>Black or green tea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 We used the food frequency questionnaire published in Rodriguez IT, Ballart JF, Pastor GC, Jordà EB, Val VA. [Validation of a short questionnaire on frequency of dietary intake: reproducibility and validity]. Nutr Hosp. 2008;23:242-252.
Supplemental Table 2. Dietary intake of health care workers stratified by self-report of following low carbohydrate, high protein diet among Covid-19 cases (N=568)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary intake, times/week (Mean, SD)</th>
<th>Followed low carbohydrate, high protein diet (n=91)</th>
<th>Did not follow low carbohydrate, high protein diet (n=477)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fruits</td>
<td>8.2 (5.7)</td>
<td>8.7 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vegetables</td>
<td>11.7 (8.9)</td>
<td>10.5 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>2.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes</td>
<td>2.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts</td>
<td>3.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined grains</td>
<td>6.8 (5.6)</td>
<td>8.9 (5.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark or whole grain breads</td>
<td>2.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and desserts</td>
<td>5.2 (6.4)</td>
<td>7.0 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>3.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>12.0 (9.7)</td>
<td>13.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>2.7 (2.0)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red and processed meats</td>
<td>3.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and seafood</td>
<td>3.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soups</td>
<td>1.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croquettes, dumplings, pizza</td>
<td>0.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages</td>
<td>2.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit juices</td>
<td>0.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oil</td>
<td>3.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>3.9 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>1.7 (2.0)</td>
<td>1.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>3.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>3.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>7.1 (6.8)</td>
<td>7.7 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>2.5 (3.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cases are defined as individuals with self-reported Covid-19-like illness (fever, coughing, fatigue, loss of taste or smell) or a positive PCR or antibody test. P-value comparing those following a low carbohydrate, high protein diet vs. those not following a low carbohydrate, high protein diet. Details on these food groups are presented in Supplemental Table 1.

Bold font denotes statistically significant associations.
### Supplemental Table 3. Adjusted Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between self-reported diets and odds of Covid-19 (N=2884)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets</td>
<td>0.79 (0.56, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80 (0.56, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.81 (0.56, 1.15)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets or pescatarian diets</td>
<td>0.76 (0.54, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76 (0.55, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.77 (0.55, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbohydrate, high protein diets</td>
<td>1.01 (0.78, 1.31)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01 (0.78, 1.31)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00 (0.78, 1.30)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Cases are defined as individuals with self-reported Covid-19-like illness (fever, coughing, fatigue, loss of taste or smell) or a positive PCR or antibody test.

b Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and country.

c Model 2 additionally adjusted for specialty, smoking, and physical activity

d Model 3 additionally adjusted for body mass index and presence of a medical condition

---

### Supplemental Table 4. Adjusted Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between self-reported diets and duration of Covid-19 among Covid-19 cases (N=568)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets</td>
<td>1.19 (0.53, 2.66)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.21 (0.54, 2.71)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.25 (0.56, 2.82)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets or pescatarian diets</td>
<td>1.15 (0.54, 2.48)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.17 (0.54, 2.52)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.21 (0.56, 2.62)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbohydrate, high protein diets</td>
<td>1.22 (0.68, 2.19)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.19 (0.66, 2.15)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.17 (0.65, 2.13)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Cases are defined as individuals with self-reported Covid-19-like illness (fever, coughing, fatigue, loss of taste or smell) or a positive PCR or antibody test. We compared >14 days vs. ≤14 days. Participants reported the number of days they experienced symptoms of Covid-19. Asymptomatic individuals with a positive PCR or antibody test were considered to have 0 days of Covid-19 symptom duration.

b Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and country.

c Model 2 additionally adjusted for specialty, smoking, and physical activity

d Model 3 additionally adjusted for body mass index and presence of a medical condition
Supplemental Table 5. Adjusted Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between self-reported diets and odds of Covid-19-positive test (by PCR or antibody), severity, and duration of Covid-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covid-19 test</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1b</td>
<td>0.62 (0.36, 1.05)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.62 (0.37, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.62 (0.37, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets or pescatarian diets</td>
<td><strong>0.55 (0.33, 0.93)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.02</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.56 (0.34, 0.95)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.03</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.57 (0.34, 0.95)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.03</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbohydrate, high protein diets</td>
<td>0.89 (0.62, 1.27)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.90 (0.63, 1.29)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.90 (0.63, 1.28)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moderate-to-severe vs. mild to very mild severity</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1b</td>
<td>0.50 (0.13, 1.90)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.49 (0.13, 1.86)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.48 (0.13, 1.86)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets or pescatarian diets</td>
<td>0.48 (0.13, 1.81)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.47 (0.12, 1.78)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.46 (0.12, 1.77)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbohydrate, high protein diets</td>
<td>1.29 (0.61, 2.72)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.31 (0.62, 2.76)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.31 (0.62, 2.77)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&gt;14 days vs. ≤14 days of Covid-19 symptoms</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1b</td>
<td>0.74 (0.19, 2.84)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.70 (0.18, 2.77)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.70 (0.18, 2.74)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diets or pescatarian diets</td>
<td>0.66 (0.17, 2.53)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.64 (0.17, 2.47)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.65 (0.17, 2.50)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbohydrate, high protein diets</td>
<td>1.85 (0.82, 4.16)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.86 (0.82, 4.22)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.82 (0.80, 4.14)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cases are defined as individuals a positive PCR or antibody test (N=298 cases and N=2316 controls). Cases were asked to rate the severity of Covid-19 illness. We compared moderate-to-severe severity (N=87) to very mild to mild severity (N=211). Severity was defined as: 1) Very mild: asymptomatic or nearly asymptomatic, 2) Mild: symptoms [fever <38°C (without treatment), with or without cough, no dyspnea, no gasping, no abnormal imaging findings], 3) Moderate: [fever, respiratory symptoms, and/or imaging findings of pneumonia]. 4) Severe: meet any of the following: 1) respiratory distress, respiratory rate ≥30 times/min 2) low oxygen saturation <93% at rest 3) partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2)/ fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) ≤ 300 mm Hg. Cases also reported the number of days they experienced symptoms of Covid-19. We compared >14 days (N=73) to ≤14 days of Covid-19 symptoms (N=225).

* Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and country.

* Model 2 additionally adjusted for specialty, smoking, and physical activity

* Model 3 additionally adjusted for body mass index and presence of a medical condition

Bold font denotes statistically significant associations.
Supplemental Figure 1. Flow diagram of study participants

Screening for eligibility: Health care workers with significant exposure to Covid-19 patients (N=7,344)

- Did not have close contact with Covid-19 patients (N=2,482)
  - Health care workers were not in a high-risk setting (N=1,190)
  - Participants did not provide consent (N=181)
  - Responses did not match between symptoms and disease severity (N=57)
  - Over quota for Covid-19 controls (N=550)

Final analytic sample size (N=2,884)
Plant-based and/or fish diets may help lessen severity of COVID-19 infection

Associated with 73% and 59% lower odds, respectively, of moderate to severe disease

Plant-based and/or fish (pescatarian) diets may help lower the odds of developing moderate to severe COVID-19 infection, suggest the findings of a six-country study, published in the online journal *BMJ Nutrition Prevention & Health*.

They were associated with 73% and 59% lower odds, respectively, of severe disease, the findings indicate.

Several studies have suggested that diet might have an important role in symptom severity and illness duration of COVID-19 infection. But, as yet, there’s little evidence to confirm or refute this theory.

To explore this further, the researchers drew on the survey responses of 2884 frontline doctors and nurses with extensive exposure to SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19 infection, working in France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the UK and the US.

The participants were all part of a global network of healthcare professionals registered with the Survey Healthcare Globus network for healthcare market research. The researchers used this network to identify clinicians at high risk of COVID-19 infection as a result of their jobs.

The online survey, which ran between July and September 2020, was designed to elicit detailed information about respondents’ dietary patterns, based on a 47-item food frequency questionnaire, over the previous year, and the severity of any COVID-19 infections they had had, using objective criteria.

The survey also gathered information on personal background, medical history, medication use, and lifestyle.

The various diets were combined into plant-based (higher in vegetables, legumes, and nuts, and lower in poultry and red and processed meats); pescatarian/plant-based (as above, but with added fish/seafood); and low carb-high protein diets.

Some 568 respondents (cases) said they had had symptoms consistent with COVID-19 infection or no symptoms but a positive swab test for the infection; 2316 said they hadn’t had any symptoms/tested positive (comparison group).

Among the 568 cases, 138 clinicians said they had had moderate to severe COVID-19 infection; the remaining 430 said they had had very mild to mild COVID-19 infection.

After factoring in several potentially influential variables, including age, ethnicity, medical specialty, and lifestyle (smoking, physical activity), respondents who said they ate plant-based diets’ or plant-based/pescatarian diets had, respectively, 73% and 59% lower odds of moderate to severe COVID-19 infection, compared with those who didn’t have these dietary patterns.

And compared with those who said they ate a plant-based diet, those who said they ate a low carb-high protein diet had nearly 4 times the odds of moderate to severe COVID-19 infection.
These associations held true when weight (BMI) and co-existing medical conditions were also factored in.

But no association was observed between any type of diet and the risk of contracting COVID-19 infection or length of the subsequent illness.

This is an observational study, and so can't establish cause, only correlation. It also relied on individual recall rather than on objective assessments, and the definition of certain dietary patterns may vary by country, point out the researchers.

Men outnumbered women in the study, so the findings may not be applicable to women, they add.

But plant-based diets are rich in nutrients, especially phytochemicals (polyphenols, carotenoids), vitamins and minerals, all of which are important for a healthy immune system, say the researchers.

And fish is an important source of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids, both of which have anti-inflammatory properties, they add.

"Our results suggest that a healthy diet rich in nutrient dense foods may be considered for protection against severe COVID-19," they conclude.

"The trends in this study are limited by study size (small numbers with a confirmed positive test) and design (self-reporting on diet and symptoms) so caution is needed in the interpretation of the findings," comments Deputy Chair of the NNEdPro Nutrition and COVID-19 Taskforce, Shane McAuliffe.

"However, a high quality diet is important for mounting an adequate immune response, which in turn can influence susceptibility to infection and its severity."

He adds:"This study highlights the need for better designed prospective studies on the association between diet, nutritional status and COVID-19 outcomes."