SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1: A linear mixed model analysis of the association between household food insecurity and depressive symptoms among adolescent girls in north-eastern Ghana

Statistical Model Food secured	Mild Food Insecurity		Moderate Food Insecu	Severe Food Insecurity		
	¹ Estimate (95% C.I)	P-value	¹ Estimate (95% C.I)	P-value	¹ Estimate (95% C.I)	P-value
Ref	1.76 (-73.9, 77.4)	0.95	72.9 (-8.4, 154.2)	0.10	134.9 (47.5, 222.2)	0.0005
Ref	-14.9 (-88.6, 58.9)	0.95	49.2 (-31.7, 130.0)	0.40	111.4 (23.6, 199.3)	0.006
Ref	-6.3 (-80.7, 68.0)	0.99	69.0 (-13.3, 151.3)	0.14	125.2 (37.9, 212.6)	0.001
Ref	-6.4 (-80.7, 68.0)	0.99	69.4 (-13.0, 151.7)	0.13	125.5 (38.1, 212.8)	0.001
Ref	-11.2 (-85.0, 63.4)	0.98	63.4 (-19.3, 146.1)	0.20	120.5 (32.9, 208.0)	0.002
Ref	-11.5 (-86.1, 63.1)	0.98	63.9 (-18.9, 146.6)	0.19	120.9 (33.3, 208.5)	0.002
	Ref Ref Ref Ref	Testimate (95% C.I) Ref 1.76 (-73.9, 77.4) Ref -14.9 (-88.6, 58.9) Ref -6.3 (-80.7, 68.0) Ref -6.4 (-80.7, 68.0) Ref -11.2 (-85.0, 63.4)	Ref 1.76 (-73.9, 77.4) 0.95 Ref -14.9 (-88.6, 58.9) 0.95 Ref -6.3 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 Ref -6.4 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 Ref -11.2 (-85.0, 63.4) 0.98	Testimate (95% C.I) P-value Testimate (95% C.I) Ref 1.76 (-73.9, 77.4) 0.95 72.9 (-8.4, 154.2) Ref -14.9 (-88.6, 58.9) 0.95 49.2 (-31.7, 130.0) Ref -6.3 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 69.0 (-13.3, 151.3) Ref -6.4 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 69.4 (-13.0, 151.7) Ref -11.2 (-85.0, 63.4) 0.98 63.4 (-19.3, 146.1)	Testimate (95% C.I) P-value Ref 1.76 (-73.9, 77.4) 0.95 72.9 (-8.4, 154.2) 0.10 Ref -14.9 (-88.6, 58.9) 0.95 49.2 (-31.7, 130.0) 0.40 Ref -6.3 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 69.0 (-13.3, 151.3) 0.14 Ref -6.4 (-80.7, 68.0) 0.99 69.4 (-13.0, 151.7) 0.13 Ref -11.2 (-85.0, 63.4) 0.98 63.4 (-19.3, 146.1) 0.20	Interval of the control of t

¹The outcome is the log-transformed variable for depression score; hence the estimate is the percentage point increase in depression score for food insecurity compared to food security; 95% C.I, 95% confidence interval; model 2 included child psychological factors including life satisfaction, self-efficacy, self-esteem, and health complaints; model 3 additionally adjusted for other child-level factors including child's age, menarche status, anaemia, stunting, mean frequency of consuming fruits and vegetables and mean frequency of animal foods consumption; model 4 was further adjusted for maternal age and model 5 adjusted for household factors including wealth index and household size, and finally model 6 adjusted for the treatment. Model fit for final adjusted model: -2 Log L 2743.6; AIC 2783.6