

'Bhavishya Shakti: Empowering the Future': establishing and evaluating a pilot community mobile teaching kitchen as an innovative model, training marginalised women to become nutrition champions and culinary health educators in Kolkata, India

To cite: Buckner L, Carter H, Crocombe D, *et al.* 'Bhavishya Shakti: Empowering the Future': establishing and evaluating a pilot community mobile teaching kitchen as an innovative model, training marginalised women to become nutrition champions and culinary health educators in Kolkata, India. *BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health* 2021;**0**. doi:10.1136/bmjnph-2020-000181

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjnph-2020-000181>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to








Dr Luke Buckner, NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health, St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge CB4 0WS, UK; l.buckner@nnedpro.org.uk and

Professor Sumantra Ray, NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health, St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge CB4 0WS, UK; s.ray@nnedpro.org.uk

Received 5 October 2020
Accepted 29 April 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Luke Buckner ¹, Harrison Carter,¹ Dominic Crocombe ¹, Sento Kargbo,¹ Maria Korre,¹ Somnath Bhar,² Shivani Bhat ¹, Debashis Chakraborty,² Pauline Douglas ^{1,3}, Mitali Gupta,^{2,4} Sudeshna Maitra-Nag,² Sagarika Mukherjee,² Aparjita Saha,² Minha Rajput-Ray ¹, Ianthi Tsimpli ^{1,5}, Sumantra Ray ^{1,3,6}

ABSTRACT

Background Malnutrition is a global emergency, creating an overlapping burden on individual, public and economic health. The double burden of malnutrition affects approximately 2.3 billion adults worldwide. Following 3 years of capacity building work in Kolkata, with assistance of local volunteers and organisations, we established an empowering nutrition education model in the form of a 'mobile teaching kitchen (MTK)' with the aim of creating culinary health educators from lay slum-dwelling women.

Aims To evaluate the piloting of a novel MTK nutrition education platform and its effects on the participants, alongside data collection feasibility.

Methods Over 6 months, marginalised (RG Kar and Chetla slums) women underwent nutrition training using the MTK supported by dietitians, doctors and volunteers. Preintervention and postintervention assessments of knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP), as well as anthropometric and clinical nutritional status of both the women and their children were recorded. The education was delivered by a 'See One, Do One, Teach One' approach with a final assessment of teaching delivery performed in the final session.

Results Twelve women were trained in total, six from each slum. Statistically significant improvements were noted in sections of KAP, with improvements in nutrition knowledge (+4.8) and practices (+0.8). In addition, statistically significant positive changes were seen in 'understanding of healthy nutrition for their children' ($p=0.02$), 'sources of protein rich food' ($p=0.02$) and 'not skipping meals if a child is ill' ($p<0.001$).

Conclusion The MTK as a public health intervention managed to educate, empower and upskill two groups of lay marginalised women into MTK Champions from the urban slums of Kolkata, India. Improvements in their

Key messages

- Mobile Teaching Kitchens can be used successfully to educate underserved communities
- The measurement of changes in nutrition knowledge, attitudes and practice provides a meaningful and feasible way to measure the impact of community nutrition education interventions.
- The Mobile Teaching Kitchen initiative is a scalable model, able to deliver nutrition education to participants whilst also gathering data using action research methods.

nutrition KAP demonstrate just some of the effects of this programme. By the provision of healthy meals and nutritional messages, the MTK Champions are key drivers nudging improvements in nutrition and health related awareness with a ripple effect across the communities that they serve. There is potential to upscale and adapt this programme to other settings, or developing into a microenterprise model, that can help future MTK Champions earn a stable income.

BACKGROUND

Malnutrition remains a major cause for concern globally, creating an intertwining and overlapping burden on individual, public and economic health. The simultaneous existence of undernutrition and overnutrition, known as the double burden of malnutrition, is estimated to affect approximately 2.3 billion

adults worldwide, with 1.9 billion overweight or obese and 462 million underweight.¹

In India, the double burden of malnutrition constitutes a public health emergency, prevalent across the socio-economic gradient. Over 33% of childhood deaths are attributed to the most severe manifestations of undernutrition, while 33.8 million children are overweight.² In Indian adults, overnutrition increases steadily, as undernutrition stagnates.³ According to the Indian National Family Health Survey in 2016, 22.9% of women and 20.2% of men had a body mass index (BMI) below normal (<18.5 kg/m²),⁴ whereas 17.1% of men and 18.6% of women had overweight/obesity (>25.0 kg/m²).⁵ In addition to gender, malnutrition also disproportionately affects individuals by location. A percentage of 26.7% of women in rural India were underweight compared with 15.5% of urban women, often speculated to be secondary to financial differences. Similar to undernutrition in urban and rural settings, there is a difference in the prevalence of overnutrition but observed in the opposite direction. Women living in urban areas (31.4%) are more likely to be overweight compared with rural counterparts (15.1%).³ A sophisticated understanding of the global burden of undernutrition and overnutrition is becoming more clearly demonstrated by *The Lancet* series on the 'The Double Burden of Malnutrition' linking the two seemingly opposing conditions. The series is pushing the boundaries of research in this area,⁵ particularly around the interplay of maternal nutritional states and future offspring risk of malnutrition.⁶

Malnutrition remains a key priority for organisations including the United Nations (UN)⁷ and WHO,⁸ both advocating for a coordinated global approach. However, a persistent challenge remains in supporting dietary change, highlighting the difficulty of translating scientific evidence into easily understood and high-quality health promotion messages.

One notable tool to tackle the issue of translating evidence into practice is the use of teaching kitchens, which have been effective in educating healthcare professionals on nutrition topics, in a number of settings. Cooking is used as a tool to transfer skills and knowledge to participants who then educate their patients and the public to improve their diet.^{9 10} This model has spread into the community for the public, leading to a collection of small trials, resulting in beneficial dietary modification. However, these studies largely occurred in the USA, Australia and Brazil, where a predominant focus has been on behavioural change in medium to high income countries or educational institutions but are yet to be extensively trialled in marginalised communities.^{11–16} Despite this, it has been shown that using a culinary education model, in a number of settings, leads to changes in nutrition attitudes and practices.¹⁷

In February 2018, NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health (NNEdPro), alongside the Remedy Clinic Study Group (RCSG) and the Inner Wheel Club of Greater Calcutta (IWCGC), building on previously

documented capacity building work,¹⁸ launched the 'Bhavishya Shakti Mobile Teaching Kitchen'. This project served as an intervention where the project team, including local dietitians and physicians, trained local community volunteers in basic nutritional concepts who in turn then used a 'mobile teaching kitchen (MTK)' to educate and empower marginalised women in two Kolkata slums: Chetla and RG Kar.

This paper assesses the efficacy and feasibility of using this MTK (online supplemental appendix 1) as a model of training to transform a group of untrained women in urban slums into MTK Champions, who are now culinary health educators and advocates in their local communities, while also using the intervention as a data collection opportunity.

AIMS

Primary aim

Evaluate the feasibility of an MTK as a nutrition education tool to create culinary health educators.

Secondary aims

1. Assess the nutrition and health status of consenting marginalised participants and their children (through anthropometric and clinical assessments) before and after intervention, including demographics.
2. Improve dietary knowledge, attitude and practices following the educational intervention, analysed before and after the intervention using a knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) questionnaire.
3. Undertake a novel feasibility of assessment of mentalising skills and cognitive flexibility of marginalised participants, assessing its correlation with changes in nutrition KAP or teaching ability.
4. Determine whether peer transmission of learning is a feasible method of nutrition education.

METHODS

Using a participatory action research approach,¹⁹ core nutritional issues for local marginalised populations were taught to participants who originated from two marginalised communities in Kolkata: RG Kar and Chetla slums.

Participant recruitment was organic, given competing life demands and difficulties associated with the environment in which the participants lived. Volunteers were selected from interested women (mothers) who received project information from local healthcare professionals known to them through previous research. There were no formal exclusion criteria, but participants were identified from those who were able to attend the training sessions in the two marginalised communities.

The consent process involved informing all participants of the educational intervention, data collection techniques and that their findings may be published as research. Consent was obtained using locally translated




Figure 1 Overview of the process of knowledge transmission from trained professionals through to the community.

consent forms and translators, and for children, consent was taken from the parent or legal guardian.

Prior to the educational intervention, a needs assessment was performed to identify participants and establish the logistics of the kitchen. The intervention was a training programme for the supporting volunteers, where they were taught how to train marginalised participants (MTK Champions) to cook and educate others using a pre-designed template menu designed by local healthcare providers to address identified common nutritional deficiencies. Having been trained, the volunteers put their learning into practice, teaching the marginalised participants (MTK Champions) about the nutritional messages; this process is outlined in [figure 1](#). Data were collected at baseline (preintervention) and postintervention, as well as during each educational session over a total period of approximately 6 months from February to August 2018 ([figure 2](#)).

Step 1: baseline (preintervention) data collection (April 2018)

Researchers performed a number of baseline assessments, detailed further, to gain data preintervention using the local support groups, who could contact and arrange sessions, as well as translate to Bengali (the local language).

Demographics, anthropometry and clinical assessment

Age, gender, number of siblings and education level were collected for all MTK Champions and children by questionnaire. In addition, the number of children, occupation and income were collected. Both MTK Champions and their children underwent anthropometric assessment adapted from standardised techniques²⁰; repeated measurements of height of barefoot participants standing straight-backed against the wall were obtained using a standard measuring tape, weight was measured wearing light clothing using digital scales and BMI was calculated. The same practitioner also measured the mid-upper arm circumference, taken at the midpoint between olecranon and acromion process. Local doctors then assessed




Figure 2 Timeline of data collection and delivery of nutrition education (February–August 2018).

Table 1 Clinical signs and their respective micronutrient associations

Clinical sign	Associated deficiency
Perifollicular petechiae Gingivitis	Vitamin C
Flag sign Oedema	Protein energy
Cheilosis Pallor Angular stomatitis	Iron and B vitamins (particularly folate and B ₁₂)

participants for signs of micronutrient deficiency and undernourishment (table 1).

A general physical examination was performed as a basic health screen for the participants' benefit, including respiratory, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal examination. This also provided a service to the local community as any previously unidentified abnormalities were referred to local healthcare services for appropriate investigations, treatment and follow-up. Forms for collecting information are shown in online supplemental appendices 2–4.

Knowledge, attitudes and practices

Awareness of dietary practices were assessed using a KAP questionnaire, designed for local food preference before and after the intervention (online supplemental appendix 2). Given this questionnaire was designed for local diets and foodstuffs and was adapted from both the Indian Dietetic Association and Nutrition Society of India toolkits, it was not validated in its current form but contained validated questions. When answering, participants were instructed to describe their own diet rather than their family as a whole. The exemption to this was when asking women who were mothers to describe their children's diets. Answers were reviewed to assess congruence with a positive response. In the knowledge section, however, responses were compared with a defined objectively correct answer. Marks for each section were converted into subsection scores for comparison of knowledge, attitudes and practices.

Step 2: See One, Do One, Teach One (SODOTO) workshops (April–May 2018)

The purpose of the teaching kitchen intervention was to engage with the local communities, deliver health and malnutrition screening sessions and teach participants to create nutritionally balanced, locally sourced, sustainable, hygienic, well-presented and affordable meals (as per a preset template menu; see nutritional breakdown in online supplemental appendix 5, analysed using Nutritics V.5.099). Furthermore, the concept aimed that participants would feel comfortable to teach their peers the menus and provide basic training in the core principles of nutrition around the meals.

Volunteers with no formal nutritional training, from a local volunteer group IWCGC, were first trained in providing basic nutrition education by a local dietitian. These volunteers then passed their knowledge and training to seven initial MTK Champions from the two marginalised communities who became 'trained champions'. Using the SODOTO methodology, these seven trained champions passed their knowledge onto a further group of participants (untrained champions) to evaluate the effectiveness of the transmission of knowledge (online supplemental appendices 6–8).

To compensate for loss of earnings and encourage participation, the untrained champions were incentivised through food items including healthy food rations, as well as reimbursement for the 'Do One' and 'Teach One' sessions (maximum of Rs 1000 INR). Trained champions were paid Rs 500 INR per educational session (maximum of Rs 1500 INR) for their time and effort, including the previous education from volunteers, they also received food incentives.

In the 'See One' session, trained champions used the MTK to demonstrate to the untrained champions how to cook a nutritionally balanced, affordable, tasty and locally sourced meal. On the next 'Do One' visit, the untrained champions cooked the template on their own, with assistance where required. On their final 'Teach One' visit, the untrained champions taught a group from the local community who opportunistically assembled.

Throughout each session, participants were tested on mentalising skills and cognitive flexibility: a novel aspect of the proposed work. Mentalising skills are described as the ability to perceive and interpret human behaviour in terms of intentional mental states (eg, needs, desires, feelings, beliefs, goals, purposes and reasons). A lot of mentalising is not conscious, deliberate or reflective but rather intuitive and implicit.²¹ The mentalising skills assessments were performed using two validated tasks (online supplemental appendix 8) named 'The unexpected transfer task' and 'Wisconsin card sorting test'. The 'Unexpected transfer task' was a test of theory of mind, which usually develops from years aged 4–5 years, but has not been tested in populations such as the marginalised communities identified. The 'Wisconsin card sorting task' is a measure of intellectual flexibility,²² tested through a card sorting game where the rules changed throughout the game. The aim was to see if understanding more about the benefits of food, and learning how to teach this to others, would improve the participants' cognitive flexibility; there is no evidence to our knowledge in this area; hence, this exploration was pilot in nature.

Intellectual flexibility is shown through comparison of the different levels of perseverance error, non-perseverance error and total error. Perseverance error can be described as a participant making the same mistake more than once, showing a lack of flexibility. Whereas non-perseverance error occurs when a participant is trialling a different rule, in a method to identify the underlying rule through trial and error.²²

In the ‘Teach One’ session, participants’ nutrition messaging was captured through three separate custom created teaching questionnaires per participant: both trained and untrained champions completed a self-assessment, followed by an assessment by a trained (IWCGC) volunteer. Untrained champions were further assessed by a trained champion peer (forms used are in online supplemental appendix 7). Possible scores were poor (one mark), average (two marks), good (three marks) and excellent (four marks) on each of the following categories: food handling and hygiene, cooking skills, understanding of the importance of a balanced diet, retention of knowledge, skills gained and ability to explain and teach others.

Step 3: postintervention data collection (August 2018)

Anthropometric measurements and clinical status assessment were repeated on the champions and children after the teaching kitchen intervention to allow for a longitudinal analysis of nutrition and health status. The KAP questionnaire was also performed again postintervention for comparison with baseline.

Data storage and analysis plan

Data were collected on paper surveys, stored securely in a local office and transcribed into electronic format. Comparison analyses were conducted of the baseline (preintervention) and postintervention anthropometric measures and nutrition KAP of the champions using the Mann-Whitney U test to account for interslum and longitudinal differences. Statistical analyses were completed on Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Demographics

Twelve champions and 10 children were identified across the two slums. At baseline, the combined groups of champions had a mean (SD) age of 29.9 (8.6) years across both slums: 31.6 (9.7) years in Chetla and 26.4 (6.2) years in RG Kar. The children had a combined mean (SD) age of 5.8 (2.3) years across both slums: 6.0 (2.5) in Chetla and 5.6 (2.2) years in RG Kar.

All champions were domestic helpers (n=8) or housewives (n=6). In Chetla slum, the most common occupation was housewife (n=4), compared with RG Kar, which was evenly split.

The average income bracket selected across the slums was between Rs 2000 and 4999 INR per month; however, this varied between Chetla and RG Kar, with Chetla’s being Rs 2000–4999 INR (n=5) and RG Kar’s being less than Rs 2000 INR (n=4).

The majority of the champions were educated to primary level (up to class IV) (n=6). The maximum level was up to UK college standard (XII standard) or above (n=1), and the lowest level was no formal education (n=4). In Chetla, the most common level of education reached was primary level (n=4), whereas in RG Kar, the

Table 2 Demographic data for RG Kar and Chetla MTK Champions (n=12)

Category	RG Kar (n=6)	Chetla (n=6)
Age	26.4 (±6.2)	31.6 (±9.7)
Occupation		
Housewife	3 (50)	4 (67)
Domestic helper	3 (50)	2 (33)
Income (INR)		
<2000	3 (50)	0 (0)
2000–4999	2 (33)	4 (67)
>5000	1 (17)	2 (33)
Education level		
No formal education	3 (50)	1 (17)
Primary level (up to class IV)	2 (33)	3 (50)
UK secondary school but not GCSE level (class VIII)	1 (17)	1 (17)
UK college level (A-levels)	0 (0)	1 (17)

Values presented as mean (±SD) or mode (percentage).
GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education.

majority received no formal education (n=4). Full breakdown is demonstrated in [table 2](#).

The children comprised four girls and six boys. In Chetla, a registered slum, all children attended formal education. However, in RG Kar, only two out of five children were attending formal learning.

Anthropometric measurements of champions

[Table 3](#) demonstrates the anthropometric measurements in each slum at baseline (preintervention) and postintervention. Significant changes in anthropometry were not anticipated as the intervention primarily focused on micronutrient and protein deficiencies. At baseline, the champions from Chetla had statistically significantly higher BMI by 6.1 m/kg² (p=0.03) compared with their RG Kar counterparts. This persisted postintervention (mean difference of 5.1 m/kg², p=0.05). There was no statistically significant difference in mean height, weight or MUAC at baseline or postintervention.

Comparison of the combined mean across both slums at baseline versus postintervention showed no statistically significant changes for height (1.25 cm, p=0.54), weight (−0.6 kg, p=0.89), BMI (−0.7, p=0.89) or MUAC (−0.7 cm, p=0.56) postintervention, as was expected in this timeframe.

Anthropometric measurements of children

Baseline (preintervention) and postintervention height, weight, BMI and MUAC for Chetla and RG Kar children are shown in [table 4](#). Due to small sample sizes, interslum comparisons were not possible to calculate. Comparison of combined mean measurements at baseline versus postintervention showed no statistically significant differences.

Table 3 Anthropometric measurements of all champions in RG Kar and Chetla slums (n=12)

	Preintervention mean (SD)				Postintervention mean (SD)				Difference between combined mean preintervention and postintervention (p value)
	Combined mean (n=12)	Chetla	RG Kar	Interslum difference (p value)	Combined mean (n=12)	Chetla	RG Kar	Interslum difference (p value)	
Height (cm)	150.6	147.5 (6.8)	153.7 (4.1)	-6.2 (p=0.09)	151.8	150.7 (7.5)	153.0 (4.0)	-2.3 (p=0.69)	+1.25 (p=0.54)
Weight (kg)	51.9	57.1 (13.6)	46.6 (5.3)	+10.5 (p=0.23)	51.3	56.8 (13.0)	45.8 (6.1)	+11.0 (p=0.17)	-0.6 (p=0.89)
BMI (m/kg ²)	22.9	25.9 (5.3)	19.8 (3.1)	+6.1 (p=0.03)	22.2	24.7 (3.7)	19.7 (3.7)	+5.0 (p=0.05)	-0.7 (p=0.89)
MUAC (cm)	26.4	27.4 (3.5)	25.3 (1.8)	+2.1 (p=0.30)	25.7	26.8 (2.7)	24.5 (2.6)	+2.3 (p=0.20)	-0.7 (p=0.56)

Values presented as mean (SD). For p values, Mann-Whitney U test (two tailed) was used, significant at p<0.05. Bold values indicate statistical significance. BMI, body mass index; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference.

Clinical assessment of MTK Champions

In RG Kar slum, one of the MTK Champions had gingivitis at baseline, which resolved by the postintervention review, two champions had pallor at baseline and one developed pallor postintervention. In Chetla at baseline, all champions had pallor; two also had angular stomatitis. Postintervention, no clinical sign of micronutrient deficiency was identified in the Chetla champions. Overall, a total of 11 signs of micronutrient deficiency were identified at baseline, while postintervention, three (all pallor) were seen, as demonstrated in online supplemental appendix 9. The most prevalent clinical sign identified over the course of the study was pallor (66.7%).

Knowledge, attitudes and practices

At baseline, the combined KAP subsections were as follows (mean (SD)): knowledge 11.7 (1.05), attitude 40.6 (0.74) and practice 23.4 (1.24). Following the intervention the scores were found to be change by +4.8 (knowledge), -3.8 (attitude) and +0.8 (practice). Individual questions were compared for the whole group at baseline and postintervention with the following questions found to be statistically significant, understanding of healthy nutrition for their children (question 1: change of 1.00 score, p=0.02), sources of protein rich food (question 3: change of 1.08 scores, p=0.02) and not skipping meals if a child is ill (question 13: change of -1.83 scores, p<0.001). While

no other statistically significant changes were seen, full breakdown can be seen in [table 5](#).

Teaching assessment

Self-assessments were undertaken by all MTK Champions (n=12), and peer assessment was undertaken for those previously untrained, missing one participant (n=5). Trained volunteer assessment was performed for all MTK Champions (n=12); all results are shown in [table 6](#).

In a combined sample of the MTK Champions from both slums, participants who received primary education higher than level IV scored the highest overall in the 'Teach One' session with mean (SD) score of 2.6 (0.4) followed by those who received primary education, mean 2.36 (0.41). Participants with no formal education scored the least with a mean of 2.05 (0.19). This may suggest a relationship between the level of education and performance of the participants during the 'Teach One' step, as seen in [figure 3](#).

Additionally, women who were housewives scored higher than those who were employed (mean (SD) 2.36 (0.17) and 2.29 (0.50), respectively). Women with low income (<2000/- per year) scored higher (2.4 (0.20)) than those who earned between 2000/- and 4999/ (2.27 (0.39)) and above 5000/- (2.33 (0.61)), although all these values vary minimally and currently lack any

Table 4 Anthropometric measurements of children in Chetla slum (n=4) and RG Kar slum (n=5), including mean measurements across both groups (n=9)

	Preintervention mean (SD)				Postintervention mean (SD)				Difference between combined mean preintervention and postintervention (p value)
	Combined mean (n=9)	Chetla	RG Kar	Interslum difference (n too small for p value)	Combined mean (n=9)	Chetla	RG Kar	Interslum difference (n too small for p value)	
Height (cm)	110.3	109.5 (20.6)	111.1 (17.7)	-1.6	111.8	102.2 (21.0)	111.3 (17.7)	-9.1	+1.5 (p=0.79)
Weight (kg)	20.4	23.4 (16.1)	17.4 (5.4)	+6.0	21.2	16.6 (16.9)	17.6 (5.2)	-1.0	+0.8 (p=0.67)
BMI (m/kg ²)	15.6	17.4 (5.2)	13.8 (0.9)	+3.6	15.9	15.7 (4.8)	14.0 (1.1)	+1.7	+0.3 (p=0.67)
MUAC (cm)	16.8	18.2 (5.2)	15.5 (1.8)	+2.7	17.5	16.9 (5.7)	15.9 (1.3)	+1.0	+0.7 (p=0.79)

Values presented as mean (SD). For p values, Mann-Whitney U test (two tailed) was used, significant at p<0.05. BMI, body mass index.

Table 5 Breakdown of knowledge, attitude and practice scores of Chetla and RG Kar slums (n=12)

	Preintervention mean (SD)			Postintervention mean (SD)			Difference between combined means preintervention and postintervention
	Chetla	RG Kar	Combined	Chetla	RG Kar	Combined	
Knowledge score (out of 25)	13.3 (1.03)	10.0 (0.98)	11.7 (1.05)	16.2 (0.68)	16.8 (0.81)	16.5 (0.74)	+4.8
Attitude score (out of 50)	41.3 (0.57)	39.8 (0.87)	40.6 (0.74)	35.8 (0.78)	37.8 (0.67)	36.8 (0.73)	-3.8
Practice score (out of 50)	23.8 (1.12)	23.0 (1.17)	23.4 (1.24)	25.2 (1.28)	23.3 (1.31)	24.3 (1.29)	+0.8

implications for selecting appropriate candidates (online supplemental appendix 10).

Mentalising skills and cognitive flexibility

In the ‘unexpected transfer task’, almost all MTK Champions were able to answer the three questions correctly. However, in Chetla, one participant did not, despite multiple explanations of the test over three separate occasions. All MTK Champions in RG Kar were able to answer the three questions correctly.

Of the slums, one participant from Chetla slum only completed the test once, as well as another who only managed two of the three possible attempts. These have been included given the small sample size, as demonstrated in table 7.

Table 6 Breakdown of self, peer and trained volunteer mean assessment scores of RG Kar, Chetla and combined slum results (n=12)

Category		Chetla	RG Kar	Combined
Food handling and hygiene	Self	3.7 (0.5)	3.5 (0.5)	3.6 (0.5)
	Peer	3.3 (0.6)	3.0 (0.0)	3.2 (0.4)
	Volunteer	3.0 (0.9)	3.0 (0.6)	3.0 (0.7)
	Total	3.3 (0.7)	3.2 (0.6)	3.3 (0.6)
Cooking skills	Self	3.0 (0.9)	3.3 (1.0)	3.2 (0.9)
	Peer	3.7 (0.6)	3.0 (1.4)	3.4 (0.9)
	Volunteer	3.7 (0.5)	3.8 (0.4)	3.8 (0.5)
	Total	3.4 (0.7)	3.5 (0.9)	3.4 (0.8)
Understanding of balanced diet	Self	3.3 (0.8)	3.3 (0.8)	3.3 (0.8)
	Peer	2.7 (0.6)	2.0 (0.0)	2.4 (0.5)
	Volunteer	2.5 (0.5)	2.2 (0.8)	2.3 (0.7)
	Total	2.9 (0.7)	2.6 (0.9)	2.8 (0.8)
Retention of knowledge	Self	3.3 (0.5)	2.8 (0.8)	3.1 (0.7)
	Peer	2.7 (0.6)	3.0 (0.0)	2.8 (0.4)
	Volunteer	2.8 (0.4)	2.7 (0.8)	2.8 (0.6)
	Total	3.0 (0.5)	2.8 (0.7)	2.9 (0.6)
Ability to explain or teach	Self	3.7 (0.5)	2.8 (1.0)	3.3 (0.9)
	Peer	3.7 (0.6)	3.0 (0.0)	3.4 (0.5)
	Volunteer	3.7 (0.5)	2.8 (1.0)	3.3 (0.9)
	Total	3.7 (0.5)	2.9 (0.9)	3.3 (0.8)
Overall score	3.3 (0.7)	3.0 (0.8)	3.1 (0.8)	

Values presented as mean (SD).

DISCUSSION

We successfully piloted and evaluated an MTK providing nutrition education to members of two marginalised urban slum communities while assessing its feasibility using mixed methods data collection techniques. With help from local volunteers, this project was conducted on a modest budget with the estimated cost being Rs 491 800 INR (approximately £5122.34 GBP) over a 6-month period. This included all 6-monthly operational costs: procurement, storage, upkeep and driver for the MTK van, cooking ingredients and secretarial support. The one-time costs of procuring and refitting a secondhand mobile unit/van (approximately £12 000) are separate from the aforementioned operational costs estimate.

Our results suggest physical signs of micronutrient deficiencies were less common 6 months postintervention compared with baseline. This may be due to improved dietary patterns and/or differences in subjective physical assessment. However, firm conclusions around direct impact on micronutrient deficiencies cannot be drawn from this study design due to the potential confounders and small sample size; furthermore, the MTK model is geared at primary prevention of nutritional deficiencies rather than treatment, which requires individual-level clinical management. Measures of weight, height, MUAC and BMI were successfully performed thus implying anthropometric data collection is feasible as part of the MTK educational sessions. Not unexpectedly, there were no significant changes in these measures postintervention from baseline. However, there was an increase in




Figure 3 Level of education of RG Kar and Chetla women compared with their ‘Teach One’ response score.

Table 7 Wisconsin card sorting test results for both slums (total n=12, two participants had incomplete results)

Population	Non-perseverance error	Perseverance error	Total error
Chetla	5.5 (4.9)	6.3 (3.1)	11.8 (5.1)
RG Kar	4.4 (2.8)	9.8 (5.2)	14.2 (4.3)
Total	5.0 (4.0)	8.0 (4.6)	13.0 (4.8)

Values presented as mean (SD).

the MTK Champions' nutrition knowledge and practice scores following peer education, with key topics such as the importance of childhood nutrition and protein sources significantly increasing. This suggests the SODOTO approach is a viable educational tool in this context, aligning with a previous review that demonstrated improvements in KAP through culinary teaching methods.¹⁷ The small number of participants limits the extrapolation of the findings.

Much of the existing evidence assessing teaching kitchens as an educational tool are from university, institutional or higher socioeconomic community settings.⁹⁻¹⁶ There are examples of the use of teaching kitchens in lower socioeconomic settings, including Kenya²³ and Ecuador.²⁴ However, we believe this intervention is unique in that the 'Bhavishya Shakti' Teaching Kitchen model is the first of its kind to assess the use of MTKs in a socioeconomically deprived community through a peer educational model. Thus, allowing trained lay, local volunteers to educate in a range of different settings, including other marginalised communities or indeed the public. By including participants from two different marginalised communities, this is a more diverse population, comparing uptake in both a government registered (Chetla) and unregistered (RG Kar) community. As the intervention is relatively low cost, it could be trialled elsewhere, including adapting or replicating in higher socioeconomic areas or other low to middle socioeconomic regions, or indeed adapting to further urban or indeed rural settings.

The potential impact of this educational model based on training a relatively small number of MTK Champions could be underestimated if it was to solely focus on the participants or indeed their immediate families and community as the beneficiaries. While they do receive nutrition advice, which can help them improve their families and their own health as well as those of their counterparts in the same slums, they are specifically empowered and supported to share this with wider members of the public across all echelons of society. Hence, the MTK intervention is designed as part of an inherently scalable microenterprise model: educating and empowering the MTK Champions with the competence and confidence to run an MTK, make and sell food and provide opportunistic nutrition education to customers and their peers. **Figure 4** outlines the potential expansion of each MTK intervention within its community, demonstrating the potential number of people in a community that could

be reached through a 'train the trainers' approach, far beyond the MTK Champions alone.

Existing research into culinary education techniques highlight the following elements as being important for designing successful projects: encouraging self-efficacy, setting clear outcome expectations, knowledge, encouraging family and social support, minimising barriers and maximising opportunities, and ensuring sustained




Figure 4 Estimated multiplicative impact of translating the Mobile Teaching Kitchen Champions training into a microenterprise model.

reinforcement.²⁵ These key elements of social cognitive theory were explored in a feasibility methodology by the mentalising skills data collected during this project. Prioritising these in the recruitment (eg, by screening for empathy and intellectual flexibility) and training of future champions could help maximise the sustainability of future MTK projects.

There are important limitations to discuss. The small sample size significantly limits internal and external validity of the results, and while statistical tests of significance were performed, their extrapolation remains limited from a group of 12 participants. Furthermore, many of the clinical assessments, particularly examination for physical signs, are subjective and open to the effects of chance, confounding and bias. These could be avoided in future by using biochemical (ie, of blood and urine) tests of micronutrient levels rather than physical assessments as well as parallel study designs contemporaneous with the MTK but specifically geared to measure impact on individual-level nutritional status. Such tests could also more accurately quantify changes in clinical parameters due to dietary intervention. Anthropometric measurements are also prone to subjective inconsistencies or error, as demonstrated by the 3 cm discrepancy in the average Chetla adult height from baseline to postintervention. This highlights the importance of incorporating standardised training of research personnel when replicating this work, alongside nutrition education. Individual subjectivity is also a clear limitation of the KAP questionnaire, with another that it tests perception of knowledge rather than knowledge itself. Use of the KAP questionnaire may be more accurate when implemented according to Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) guidance,²⁶ which should be a focus in future to standardise collected data.

Interestingly, broader positive impacts of this intervention were witnessed in the field but not represented in the measurements outlined in the results. Apart from the champions being trained to a level where they can deliver simple nutritional messages about the food they cook, the MTK can be a platform for selling healthy meals, thus improving the health and knowledge of their community and providing a new source of household income for the champions. This goes some way to addressing socioeconomic deprivation, which is a major driver of malnutrition, something the champions and their community were very supportive of. Furthermore, there were anecdotally reported psychosocial benefits for the champions, namely being empowered to fulfil new leadership and peer-educator roles within their communities. These added effects warrant further research in this, and future, programmes. Outputs of the project and are summarised on a dedicated microsite,²⁷ including a successful adaptation and replication in rural India (Punjab), as well as the establishment of a cooperative society co-owned by the MTK Champions to support an ongoing microenterprise model.

Tackling malnutrition in gestational and early years is a strong determinant of nutritional resilience in future

generations. The MTK model directly impacts the lives and livelihoods of participating communities and strengthens community resilience by facilitating knowledge around access to cheaper healthy diets and associated information on adopting them, thereby improving food and nutrition security. Alongside strengthening and sustaining the core model in India, plans are underway to adapt and pilot the MTK initiative globally. Through this adaptation, NNEdPro would develop a powerful scalable behaviour change tool for improved nutrition KAP that will empower marginalised communities (in developing and developed countries) to gain livelihoods while improving nutrition and health outcomes across society. In March 2021, at a practical level, launched the MTK Cookbook at the University of Cambridge Festival, with a live cook along led by an executive chef along with a registered dietitian, a registered nutritionist, a registered nurse and a licensed doctor demonstrating that the MTK recipes are low cost and relatively easy to prepare but are very nutrient rich and healthy. Furthermore, in April 2021, we also had the opportunity to present at policy level, alongside the UN Assistant Secretary General responsible for the Scaling Up Nutrition movement, as well as FAO leaders including the Deputy Director-General, on the applicability of the MTK model in the context of food supply and choices, nutrition and health as well as sustainability as part of the dialogue preceding and feeding into the UN Food Systems Summit. NNEdPro is poised and ready to adapt, pilot, spread and scale the MTK model over coming years to gain maximum impact from this innovation.

CONCLUSIONS

It is now estimated that malnutrition affects approximately 2.3 billion adults worldwide, including 462 million who are underweight and 1.9 billion who are overweight or obese. In addition to that, in the wake of COVID-19, deep fractures in food systems are exposed, and many more women and children have and will likely become food insecure and at increased risk of malnutrition worldwide. There is an urgent need for cost-effective, innovative and adaptable actions to tackle inequalities in food and health aggravated by COVID-19. This creates a need for interventions aiming to promote good nutrition and health among women and children but that also address food insecurity in broader society.

The Bhavishya Shakti MTK initiative is a public health centric intervention that aims to educate and empower participants from underserved communities, to become nutrition champions. Piloted and established in two marginalised communities of Kolkata, India, it successfully trained 12 lay participants into culinary nutrition educators for their community. Alongside this, it allowed collection of anthropometric data, clinical signs and KAP scores. The main outcomes of success were improvements in nutrition knowledge and practice scores postintervention. The intervention also serves as a microenterprise

opportunity, and additional socioeconomic benefits were observed, namely generating novel sources of household income and new leadership and educator roles within the community.

By focusing on the provision of healthy meals and nutritional messages, the MTK Champions have been key drivers behind nudging improvements in nutrition and health related awareness with a ripple effect across the communities that they serve.

There is potential to adapt and upscale this kind of intervention to other settings globally, across all socioeconomic gradients.

Author affiliations

¹NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health, St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge, UK

²NNEdPro India and South Asia Regional Network, Remedy Clinic Study Group, Kolkata, India

³School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University, Ulster, UK

⁴Inner Wheel Club of Greater Calcutta, Kolkata, India

⁵School of Arts and Humanities, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁶School of the Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Twitter Luke Buckner @lpb_1993, Harrison Carter @harrydecarter, Dominic Crocombe @domcro, Sento Kargbo @kaikargbo1, Shivani Bhat @sbhatCVD, Pauline Douglas @pdoug99, Minha Rajput-Ray @dr_minha and Sumantra Ray @ProfSumantraRay

Acknowledgements Matheus Abrantes (administrative and logistical support), Sanchita Banerjee (project officer provided logistical support), Chaitali Dutta (Inner Wheel logistical support), Kashmira Ghosh (Inner Wheel logistical support), Jørgen Torgerstuen Johnsen (logistical support and strategic oversight), Marjorie Lima do Vale (video summary of the project on webpage), Asim Manna (project intern, providing logistical support), Sucheta Mitra (applied for grants and produced related documents for the project), Mala Mukherjee (Inner Wheel logistical support), Sarmila Mukherjee (Inner Wheel logistical support), Sukanya Patwardhan (support in development stages of project), Kannan Raman (India network lead providing strategic oversight), Nikitah Rajput Ray (NNEdPro Junior ambassador, provided conceptual idea of the Mobile Teaching Kitchen Van), Dr Sabayasachi Ray (Strategic oversight, implementation and coordination of activities including the MTK), Chitra Ray (Inner Wheel strategic oversight), Ananya Ria Roy (media support), Elizabeth Richards (Inner Wheel logistical support), Helena Triguero (reviewed the template menu composition). Acknowledged organisations: Inner Wheel club of Greater Calcutta, Remedy Clinic Study Group, Global Open Data for Agriculture and Nutrition, University of Cambridge, Ulster University, UK Research and Innovation and the British Dietetic Association.

Contributors Project design: LB, HC, SBhar, SBhat, DC, PD, SM, AS, MR-R, IT and SR. Project conduct: LB, HC, SB, DC, MG, SM-N, SM, AS and SR. Data collection and analysis: LB, HC, DC, SK, MK, MR-R and SR. Paper write-up: LB, HC and SR. Paper review: DC, SK, MK, SBhat, DC, PD, SM-N, SM, MR-R, IT and SR.

Funding The project undertaken during the period of this research paper was supported by funds from the following two grants: (1) 2018: Global Challenges Research Fund Joint Award and (2) 2018: University of Cambridge Interdisciplinary Research Centre Project Incubation Award.

Competing interests None declared

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Ethics approval Local ethical approval was gained through the local and independently constituted 'Institutional ethics committee of Remedy Clinic Study Group, Kolkata, India'.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed by Shailaja Fennell, UK; Marjorie R Lima Vale, NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Luke Buckner <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6589-4880>

Dominic Crocombe <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1401-6930>

Shivani Bhat <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0008-5548>

Pauline Douglas <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6515-2310>

Minha Rajput-Ray <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7581-8494>

Ilanthi Tsimpli <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6015-7526>

Sumantra Ray <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3295-168X>


REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Malnutrition is a world health crisis, 2019. Available: <https://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/world-food-day-2019-malnutrition-world-health-crisis/en/>
- The World Bank. India - Nutrition at a glance, 2012. Available: <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/967781468259766011/India-Nutrition-at-a-glance>
- Dutta M, Selvamani Y, Singh P, *et al*. The double burden of malnutrition among adults in India: evidence from the National family health Survey-4 (2015-16). *Epidemiol Health* 2019;41:e2019050.
- Ministry of health and family welfare. National family health survey (NFHS-4) 2015-16 India, 2017. Available: <http://www.rchiips.org/nfhs>
- A future direction for tackling malnutrition. *Lancet* 2020;395:2.
- Wells JC, Sawaya AL, Wibaek R, *et al*. The double burden of malnutrition: aetiological pathways and consequences for health. *Lancet* 2020;395:75-88.
- SDGS. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. Available: <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
- WHO. Global targets 2025, 2018. Available: <https://www.who.int/nutrition/global-target-2025/en/>
- Monlezun DJ, Leong B, Joo E, *et al*. Novel longitudinal and propensity score matched analysis of Hands-On cooking and nutrition education versus traditional clinical education among 627 medical students. *Adv Prev Med* 2015;2015:656780:1-8.
- La Puma J. What is culinary medicine and what does it do? *Popul Health Manag* 2016;19:1-3. doi:10.1089/pop.2015.0003
- Kakareka R, Stone TA, Plsek P, *et al*. Fresh and Savory: integrating teaching Kitchens with shared medical appointments. *J Altern Complement Med* 2019;25:709-18. doi:10.1089/acm.2019.0091
- Delichatsios HK, Hauser ME, Burgess JD, *et al*. Shared medical appointments: a portal for nutrition and culinary education in primary Care—A pilot feasibility project. *Glob Adv Health Med* 2015;4:22-6. doi:10.7453/gahmj.2015.060
- Herbert J, Flego A, Gibbs L, *et al*. Wider impacts of a 10-week community cooking skills program—Jamie's Ministry of Food, Australia. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:1161.
- Bernardo GL, Jomori MM, Fernandes AC, *et al*. Nutrition and culinary in the kitchen program: a randomized controlled intervention to promote cooking skills and healthy eating in university students - study protocol. *Nutr J* 2017;16:83. doi:10.1186/s12937-017-0305-y
- Eisenberg DM, Richter AC, Matthews B, *et al*. Feasibility pilot study of a teaching kitchen and self-care curriculum in a workplace setting. *Am J Lifestyle Med* 2019;13:319-30. doi:10.1177/1559827617709757
- Hutchinson J, Watt JF, Strachan EK, *et al*. Evaluation of the effectiveness of the Ministry of food cooking programme on self-reported food consumption and confidence with cooking. *Public Health Nutr* 2016;19:3417-27. doi:10.1017/S1368980016001476
- Hasan B, Thompson WG, Almasri J, *et al*. The effect of culinary interventions (cooking classes) on dietary intake and behavioral



- change: a systematic review and evidence map. *BMC Nutr* 2019;5:29. doi:10.1186/s40795-019-0293-8
- 18 Buckner L, Carter H, Ahankari A, *et al.* Three-Year review of a capacity building pilot for a sustainable regional network on food, nutrition and health systems education in India. *BMJ Nutr Prev Health* 2021;4:59–68.
- 19 Baum F, MacDougall C, Smith D. Participatory action research. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2006;60:854–7. doi:10.1136/jech.2004.028662
- 20 Casadei K, Kiel J. *Anthropometric measurement*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls, 2021. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30726000>
- 21 Fonagy P, Allison E. What is Mentalization? The Concept and its Foundations in Developmental Research and Social-Cognitive Neuroscience. In: *Minding the child: Mentalization-based interventions with children, young people and their families*, 2012. https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1430329/7/Fonagy_chapter1_draft_pfrevised_protected.pdf
- 22 Kirby J. Science Direct: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test - an overview, 2015. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/neuroscience/wisconsin-card-sorting-test>
- 23 Waswa LM, Jordan I, Herrmann J, *et al.* Community-Based educational intervention improved the diversity of complementary diets in Western Kenya: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18:3406–19. doi:10.1017/S1368980015000920
- 24 Roche ML, Marquis GS, Gyorkos TW, *et al.* A community-based positive Deviance/Hearth infant and young child nutrition intervention in Ecuador improved diet and reduced underweight. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2017;49:196–203.
- 25 Maugeri IP, Brimblecombe J, Choi TST, *et al.* For whom and under what circumstances do nutrition-education cooking interventions work: a realist synthesis. *Nutr Rev* 2021;79:479–93. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuaa021
- 26 Macias Y, Glasauer P. Guidelines for assessing nutrition-related knowledge, attitudes and practices, 2014 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available: <http://www.fao.org/3/i3545e/i3545e00.htm>
- 27 NNEdPro. Mobile Teaching Kitchen (MTK) [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 16]. Available from: <https://www.nnedpro.org.uk/mtk>

Appendix 1: Design and photograph of the ‘Mobile Teaching Kitchen’ unit



Appendix 2 – Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices questionnaire used at baseline and post-intervention to assess KAP of mothers.

KNOWLEDGE

Please circle the box that most closely matches your level of knowledge

1. WHAT DO YOU UNDERSTAND ABOUT YOUR CHILD'S HEALTHY NUTRITION/HEALTHY FOOD PRACTICE?

No Knowledge	Some Knowledge	Moderate Knowledge	Good Knowledge	Very Good Knowledge
--------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------

2. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE REGARDING THE NUTRITION VALUE OF DIFFERENT TYPE OF OILS SUCH AS MUSTARD OIL, SOYA OIL, BANASPATI/DALDA, GHEE ETC?

No Knowledge	Some Knowledge	Moderate Knowledge	Good Knowledge	Very Good Knowledge
--------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------

3. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT PROTEIN RICH FOOD?

No Knowledge	Some Knowledge	Moderate Knowledge	Good Knowledge	Very Good Knowledge
--------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------

4. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE USEFULNESS OF GREEN LEAFY VEGETABLES FOR YOUR CHILD?

No Knowledge	Some Knowledge	Moderate Knowledge	Good Knowledge	Very Good Knowledge
--------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------

5. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT LOW COST NUTRITIOUS FOOD?

No Knowledge	Some Knowledge	Moderate Knowledge	Good Knowledge	Very Good Knowledge
--------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------------

ATTITUDE

Share your opinion on the statements below: how strongly do you agree or disagree?

6. FRUITS AND VEGETABLES ARE HEALTHY /ESSENTIAL FOR YOUR CHILD

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

7. MILK IS VERY HEALTHY FOR BONES AND TEETH

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

8. PULSES, NUTS, SEEDS, EGG, POULTRY AND FLESH FOODS ARE VERY ESSENTIAL FOR YOUR CHILD GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

9. MIXED AND A VARIETY OF NATURALLY COLOURFUL FOODS ARE AN ATTRACTIVE AND NATURAL IMMUNE ENHANCER FOR YOUR CHILD

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

10. FRUITS AND VEGETABLES PROVIDES VITAMINES AND MINERALS

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

11. SMALL AMOUNT OF FREQUENT FEEDING IS GOOD FOR THE HEALTH OF YOUR CHILD

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

12. BREAKFAST PLAYS AN IMPORTANT ROLE FOR YOUR CHILDS' GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

13. IF YOUR CHILD IS ILL, SKIPPING MEALS IS GOOD

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

14. WHOLE FRUIT IS BETTER THAN FRUIT JUICE

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

15. IT IS BETTER TO WASH VEGETABLES BEFORE CUTTING.

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------

PRACTICE

16. How often do you have Milk & milk products

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

17. How often do you have Roots and tuber

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

18. How often do you have Pulses

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

19. How often do you have Green leafy Vegetable

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

20. How often do you have Nuts & seeds

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

21. How often do you have Fish/ Meat/ Egg

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

--	--	--	--	--

22. How often do you have fruits

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

23. How often you offer your child homemade food during the day?

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

24. How often does your child take outside food during the day?

Never	Once a day	2 x per day	3 x per day	More than 3 x per day
-------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------

25. Do you provide school Tiffin to your child

Never	1-6 days per month	7-12 days per month	13-18 days per month	Almost regularly
-------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------	------------------

Demographic Data				
NAME OF PARTICIPANT				
Address				
Age(Years)		Date Of Birth(DD/MM/YYYY)		
Gender		Male		Female
Please circle where this form was KAP questionnaire was completed				
See One	Do One	Teach One	Children Focus Group	Male Focus Group
Date:		Signature of investigator:		

Appendix 3 – Forms for demographic, anthropometric and clinical assessments at baseline and post-intervention for mothers

REGISTRATION NO:			
NAME OF PARTICIPANT			
Address			
Age(Years)		Date Of Birth(DD/MM/YYYY)	
Birth order			
School Attended?	Yes		No
Up to what Class?			
Husband's Name (if relevant)			
Childs Name			
Education	None		
	Primary (Up To Class IV)		
	VIII passed		
	X standard		
	XII standard Or Above		
	Others		
OCCUPATION	Housewife		
	Domestic help		
	Daily wage labourer		

	Other	
INCOME	Less than 2000/-	
	2000/- to 4999/-	
	5000/- and above	
NO. OF CHILDREN	Male	Female

HEALTH DATA COLLECTION FORM: MOTHER
ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF MOTHER

		Date	Reading
Height(in centimeters only)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		
Weight (in Kilograms only)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		
BMI	First		
	Second		
	Third		
	Final average		
Mid-arm circumference(MUAC) (dominant hand)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF MOTHER

Perifollicular petechiae	Present		Absent	
Flag sign (transverse depigmentation of hair)	Present		Absent	
Angular stomatitis	Present		Absent	
Chilosis (dry, cracking or ulcerated lips)	Present		Absent	
Pallor	Present		Absent	
Edema	Present		Absent	
Swollen, Bleeding gums	Present		Absent	

HEATH REPORT CARD: MOTHER

NAME OF PARTICIPANT			
Address			
Age(Years)		Date Of Birth(DD/MM/YYYY)	
Birth order			
School Going Or Not	Yes		No
Child(rens) Name			
Husband's Name (if relevant)			

ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF MOTHER

Height(in centimeters only)	
Weight (in Kilograms only)	
BMI	
Mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) (dominant hand)	

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF MOTHER

Perifollicular petechiae	Present		Absent	
--------------------------	---------	--	--------	--

Flag sign (transverse depigmentation of hair)	Present		Absent	
Angular stomatitis	Present		Absent	
Chilosis (dry, cracking or ulcerated lips)	Present		Absent	
Aneamia	Present		Absent	
Edema	Present		Absent	
Swollen, Bleeding gums	Present		Absent	
Comment				

Appendix 4: Forms for collection of demographic, anthropometric and clinical assessments at baseline and post-intervention for children

Registration no					
NAME OF PARTICIPANT					
Address					
Age(Years)		Date Of Birth (DD/MM/YYYY)			
Gender		Male		Female	
Birth order					
School Going Or Not		Yes		No	
Mothers Name					
Education		None			
		Primary (Up To Class IV)			
		VIII passed			
		X standard			
		XII standard Or Above			
		Others			
NO. of siblings (if applicable)		Male		Female	

ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF CHILD

		Date	Reading
Height(in centimeters only)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		
Weight (in Kilograms only)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		
BMI	First		
	Second		
	Third		
	Final average		
Mid-arm circumference (MUAC) (dominant hand)	First reading		
	Second reading		
	Third reading		
	Final average		

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF CHILD

Perifollicular petechiae	Present		Absent	
Flag sign (transverse depigmentation of hair)	Present		Absent	
Angular stomatitis	Present		Absent	
Chilosis (dry, cracking or ulcerated lips)	Present		Absent	
Pallor	Present		Absent	
Edema	Present		Absent	

Swollen, Bleeding gums	Present		Absent	
------------------------	---------	--	--------	--

HEATH REPORT CARD: CHILD

NAME OF PARTICIPANT					
Address					
Age(Years)		Date Of Birth(DD/MM/YYYY)			
Gender		Male		Female	
Birth order					
School Going Or Not		Yes		No	
Mothers Name					
Fathers Name					

ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF CHILD

Height(in centimeters only)	
Weight (in Kilograms only)	
BMI	
Mid-upper arm circumference(MUAC) (dominant hand)	

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF CHILD

Perifollicular petechiae	Present		Absent	
Flag sign (transverse depigmentation of hair)	Present		Absent	
Angular stomatitis	Present		Absent	
Chilosis (dry, cracking or ulcerated lips)	Present		Absent	
Aneamia	Present		Absent	
Edema	Present		Absent	
Swollen, Bleeding gums	Present		Absent	
Comment				

--	--

Appendix 5: Nutritional analysis of the template menu used as the educational meal

Table 1: Trace elements and mineral composition of the 'Bhavishya Shakti' template menu

Indian pancake						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
419	459	103	2.9	2.0	5.0	16.2
Mixed Indian Vegetables						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
211	581	70	2.6	0.77	2.3	1.8
Spinach Cutlet						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
428	890	139	3.6	1.4	15.6	4.8
Green Chutney						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
229	471	146	6.0	0.39	0.1	0.37
Chikki						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
22.5	241	112	2.0	1.2	0.45	3.0
Template meal total						
Sodium (mg)	Potassium (mg)	Calcium (mg)	Iron (mg)	Zinc (mg)	Selenium (ug)	Iodine (ug)
1309mg	2643mg	571mg	17mg	5.7mg	23.5ug	26.1ug

Table 2: Vitamin composition of the 'Bhavishya Shakti' template menu

Indian pancake										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
212	0	2.1	0.45	0.22	7.7	1.3	0.51	121	0.05	24.4
Mixed Indian Vegetables										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
523	0	0.85	0.22	0.07	1.5	0.67	0.18	69	0	29
Spinach Cutlet										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
266	0	4.5	0.17	0.23	3.1	0.28	0.26	179	0	54
Green Chutney										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
405	0	3.8	0.12	0.24	1.2	0.29	0.1	92	0	56
Chikki										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
0.12	0	1.8	0.28	0.05	4.1	0.66	0.18	28.1	0	0
Template meal total										
Vitamin A (ug)	Vitamin D (ug)	Vitamin E (mg)	Thiamine (mg)	Vitamin B2 (mg)	Vitamin B3 (mg)	Vitamin B5 (mg)	Vitamin B6 (mg)	Folate (ug)	Vitamin B12 (ug)	Vitamin C (mg)
1406	0	13	1.2	0.81	17.7	3.2	1.2	489	0.05	163


Vitamin A = Retinol equivalent

Table 3: Macronutrient composition of the 'Bhavishya Shakti' template menu

MUFA = Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA = Polyunsaturated fatty acids

Indian pancake											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
435	61	19.2	13	54	10.9	4.5	2.1	6.9	2.5	0.17	0.04
Mixed Indian Vegetables											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
123	12.7	4.5	6.1	5.9	5.2	6.1	0.92	3.6	1.1	0.05	0.0
Spinach Cutlet											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
181	13.6	6.7	11	12.2	3.0	0.95	2.3	0.11	0.48	0.0	0.0
Green Chutney											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
42	5.0	3.9	0.76	3.2	2.1	1.7	0.01	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0
Chikki											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
253	26	6.2	13.9	2.7	2.2	23.3	2.6	6.0	5.0	0.07	0.0
Template meal total											
Energy (Kcal)	Carbohydrate (g)	Protein (g)	Total Fat (g)	Starch (g)	Fibre (g)	Sugars (g)	Saturated Fat (g)	MUFA (g)	PUFA (g)	Omega-3 (g)	Trans-Fat (g)
1035	118	41	45	78	23.4	36.5	7.9	16.6	9.2	0.29	0.04

Appendix 6: Summary diagram of the project with teaching and data collection points



Appendix 8 – Mentalising skills (Unexpected transfer task and Wisconsin card sorting task) protocol

Cognitive flexibility and mentalising skills

This project intends to assess the effects of a current intervention of nutrition education


(Teaching Kitchen) on slum women and their children, through a multidisciplinary approach focusing on wellbeing and cognition.

We aim to assess mothers'/ caregivers' knowledge and awareness of diet and lifestyle, but also their mentalizing skills in relation to their child's nutritional preferences, attitudes and needs.

'Mentalizing' refers to the mothers' or caregivers' ability to predict, understand and cater for their children's psychological needs. Investigating mothers' mentalizing skills towards children's nutritional needs is a novel aspect of the proposed work. Mentalizing is a form of imaginative mental activity about others or oneself. It includes perceiving and interpreting human behaviour in terms of intentional mental states (e.g. needs, desires, feelings, beliefs, goals, purposes, and reasons). A lot of mentalizing is not conscious, deliberate, and reflective but rather automatic, intuitive, and implicit.

Mentalizing can be assessed through standard Theory of Mind tasks examples of which are presented below:

Unexpected Transfer Task: (Wimmer & Perner, 1983):



Adapted from 'Sally-Anne Test' - Baron-Cohen, Alan Leslie & Uta Frith (1985)

Control questions:

- Where did Mary put her ball?
- Where is the ball now?

Belief question:

- Where does Mary think the ball is?
- Why does Mary believe the ball is in the basket? (justification question).....


Target/ action question:

- When Mary goes back, where will she look for her ball first?
- Why will she look there? (justification question).....
- Will she find the ball when she looks in there?
- Why/ Why not?


Wisconsin Card Sorting Task (WCST) - Grant & Berg, 1948

The task involves a set of cards which are comprised of all the different combinations of 4 colours (red, blue, yellow, green), 4 shapes (crosses, stars, circles, squares) and 4 numbers (1, 2, 3, 4). This makes 64 cards e.g. 3 red circles, 2 yellow stars. The participant is shown 4 of these cards which display all of the features. An example of this may be 1 red circle, 2 green stars, 3 blue squares and 4 yellow crosses. The participant is then shown one of the other cards, and must match it to one of these 4 on the basis of one of these features. The participant is then told if they sorted that card correctly. On the basis of this feedback, the participant must work out the rule as to which feature they are sorting the cards by. However, over the course of the experiment the rule changes. How quickly the participant is able to adjust their responses to the new rule set according to feedback is indicative of their level of cognitive flexibility. The rules normally change every 10 cards.

Here is an example of how the screen would look:



Pick one of the decks below to shuffle the card into



Here the participant can either sort the card into the first pile (shape), second pile (number) or fourth pile (colour). The participant will receive feedback to tell them if their match was correct or not.

Appendix 7: Teaching assessment form, used to rate their confidence in educating around nutrition from the template menu

Teaching Kitchen, Kolkata

Unique code		Date	
Participant Name			
Inner Wheel rep		Trained mother	

This should be completed by the participating mothers with the assistance of a team member.

Key



In the table below, circle how confident you feel in relation to your skills in the following areas:

Food handling and overall hygiene				
Cooking skills				
Understanding the importance of a balanced diet				
Retention of knowledge and skills gained				
Ability to explain and teach others				

Appendix 11: Tables and Figure of Clinical assessments

Clinical assessment of mother in Chetla Slum (n=6)


<i>Clinical sign</i>	<i>Pre-intervention</i>	<i>Post-intervention</i>	<i>Difference</i>
<i>Perifollicular petechiae</i>	0	0	0
<i>Flag sign</i>	0	0	0
<i>Angular stomatitis</i>	2	0	- 2
<i>Cheilosis</i>	1	0	-1
<i>Pallor</i>	6	0	-6
<i>Oedema</i>	0	0	0
<i>Gingivitis</i>	0	0	0

Clinical assessment of Champions in RG Kar slum (n=6)

<i>Clinical sign</i>	<i>Pre-intervention</i>	<i>Post-intervention</i>	<i>Difference</i>
<i>Perifollicular petechiae</i>	0	0	0
<i>Flag sign</i>	0	0	0
<i>Angular stomatitis</i>	0	0	0
<i>Cheilosis</i>	0	0	0
<i>Pallor</i>	2	3	+1
<i>Oedema</i>	0	0	0
<i>Gingivitis</i>	1	0	-1

Clinical assessments of Champions in Chetla and RG Kar slums (n=12)

PREVELANCE OF SIGNS OF MICRONUTRIENT DEFICIENCY IN ALL MOTHER PARTICIPANTS



Appendix 12: Tables demonstrating the mean scores for 'Teach One' responses and the level of education, annual income and occupation.

Table 1: Mean scores for 'Teach one' response and level of education

Level of education	Mean Score \pm standard deviation	95% CI
No formal education	2.05 \pm 0.19	1.75 - 2.35
Primary (up to IV)	2.36 \pm 0.41	1.85 - 2.87
Higher than IV	2.6 \pm 0.40	1.61 - 3.59

Table 2: Mean scores for 'Teach One' responses and the level of annual income.

Annual Income (INR)	Mean Score \pm standard deviation	95% CI
< 2000	2.40 \pm 0.20	1.90 - 2.90
2000 - 4999	2.27 \pm 0.39	1.85 - 2.68
> 5000	2.33 \pm 0.61	0.82 - 3.85

Table 3: Mean scores for 'Teach One' responses and occupation.

Occupation	Mean Score \pm standard deviation	95% CI
Housewife	2.36 \pm 0.17	2.15 - 2.56
Domestic worker	2.29 \pm 0.50	1.82 - 2.75